q-GENERATING FUNCTIONS FOR ONE AND TWO VARIABLES.

THOMAS ERNST

Contents

Abstract. We use a multidimensional extension of Bailey's transform to derive two very general q-generating functions, which are q-analogues of a paper by Exton [10]. These expressions are then specialised to give more practical formulae, which are q-analogues of generating relations for Karlssons generalised Kampé de Fériet function. A number of examples are given including q-Laguerre polynomials of two variables.

1. Preliminaries

The purpose of this paper is to continue the study of q-special functions by the method outlined in [2]– [7]. The paper is a q-analogue of Exton [10]. All of Exton's results are obtained as the special case $q = 1$ by this method for $n \leq 2$.

We begin with a few definitions.

Definition 1. The power function is defined by $q^a = e^{alog(q)}$. We always use the principal branch of the logarithm.

The q-analogues of a complex number a and of the factorial function are defined by:

$$\{a\}_q = \frac{1-q^a}{1-q},\ q \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{1\}, \tag{1}$$

$$\{n\}_q! = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \{k\}_q,\ \{0\}_q! = 1,\ q \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{2}$$

Date: January 10, 2008.

[0]1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 33D70; Secondary 33C65

Definition 2. The q-hypergeometric series was developed by Heine 1846 as a generalization of the hypergeometric series:

$$(3) \qquad {}_2\phi_1(a,b;c|q,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a;q\rangle_n \langle b;q\rangle_n}{\langle 1;q\rangle_n \langle c;q\rangle_n} z^n,$$

with the notation for the q-shifted factorial (compare [12, p.38])

$$(4) \qquad \langle a;q\rangle_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n=0; \\ \prod_{m=0}^{n-1}(1-q^{a+m}) & n=1,2,\ldots, \end{cases}$$

which is introduced in this paper.

Remark 1. The Watson notation [11] will also be used.

$$(5) \qquad (a;q)_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n=0; \\ \prod_{m=0}^{n-1}(1-aq^m), & n=1,2,\ldots, \end{cases}$$

Definition 3. Furthermore,

$$(6) \qquad (a;q)_\infty = \prod_{m=0}^{\infty}(1-aq^m), \ 0<|q|<1.$$

$$(7) \qquad (a;q)_\alpha = \frac{(a;q)_\infty}{(aq^\alpha;q)_\infty}, \ a \neq q^{-m-\alpha}, m=0,1,\ldots.$$

Definition 4. In the following, $\frac{\mathbb{C}}{\mathbb{Z}}$ will denote the space of complex numbers mod$\frac{2\pi i}{\log q}$. This is isomorphic to the cylinder $\mathbb{R}\times e^{2\pi i\theta}$, $\theta\in\mathbb{R}$. The operator

$$\widetilde{}: \frac{\mathbb{C}}{\mathbb{Z}} \mapsto \frac{\mathbb{C}}{\mathbb{Z}}$$

is defined by

$$(8) \qquad a \mapsto a + \frac{\pi i}{\log q}.$$

Furthermore we define

$$(9) \qquad \widetilde{\langle a;q\rangle_n} = \langle \widetilde{a};q\rangle_n.$$

By (8) it follows that

$$(10) \qquad \widetilde{\langle a;q\rangle_n} = \prod_{m=0}^{n-1}(1+q^{a+m}),$$

where this time the tilde denotes an involutioninvolution which changes a minus sign to a plus sign in all the n factors of $\langle a;q\rangle_n$.

The following simple rules follow from (8). Clearly the first two equations are applicable to q-exponents. Compare [24, p. 110].

$$\widetilde{a} \pm b \equiv \widetilde{a \pm b} \bmod \frac{2\pi i}{\log q}, \tag{11}$$

$$\widetilde{a} \pm \widetilde{b} \equiv a \pm b \bmod \frac{2\pi i}{\log q}, \tag{12}$$

$$q^{\widetilde{a}} = -q^{a}, \tag{13}$$

where the second equation is a consequence of the fact that we work mod$\frac{2\pi i}{\log q}$.

We will use the following abbreviation

$$\langle (a); q\rangle_n \equiv \langle a_1, \ldots, a_A; q\rangle_n = \prod_{j=1}^{A} \langle a_j; q\rangle_n. \tag{14}$$

Definition 5. Generalizing Heine's series, we shall define a q-hypergeometric series by (compare [11, p.4]):

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_p\phi_r(\hat{a}_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_p; \hat{b}_1, \ldots, \hat{b}_r | q, z) \equiv {}_p\phi_r \left[\begin{array}{c} \hat{a}_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_p \\ \hat{b}_1, \ldots, \hat{b}_r \end{array} | q, z \right] = \\ & = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \hat{a}_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_p; q\rangle_n}{\langle 1, \hat{b}_1, \ldots, \hat{b}_r; q\rangle_n} \left[(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \right]^{1+r-p} z^n, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where $q \neq 0$ when $p > r+1$, and

$$\widehat{a} = \begin{cases} a \\ \widetilde{a} \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

We will skip the $\hat{a}$ for the rest of the paper.

Definition 6. The following generalization of (15) will sometimes be used:

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{p+p'}\phi_{r+r'}(a_1, \ldots, a_p; b_1, \ldots, b_r | q, z || s_1, \ldots, s_{p'}; t_1, \ldots, t_{r'}) \equiv \\ & {}_{p+p'}\phi_{r+r'} \left[\begin{array}{c} a_1, \ldots, a_p \\ b_1, \ldots, b_r \end{array} | q, z || \begin{array}{c} s_1, \ldots, s_{p'} \\ t_1, \ldots, t_{r'} \end{array} \right] = \\ & = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a_1; q\rangle_n \ldots \langle a_p; q\rangle_n}{\langle 1; q\rangle_n \langle b_1; q\rangle_n \ldots \langle b_r; q\rangle_n} \left[(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \right]^{1+r+r'-p-p'} \times \\ & z^n \prod_{k=1}^{p'} (s_k; q)_n \prod_{k=1}^{r'} (t_k; q)_n^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $q \neq 0$ when $p + p' > r + r' + 1$.

Remark 2. Equation (17) is used in certain special cases when we need factors $(t;q)_n$ in the q-series.

Definition 7. Let the q-Pochhammer symbol $\{a\}_{n,q}$ be defined by

$$\{a\}_{n,q} = \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} \{a+m\}_q. \tag{18}$$

An equivalent symbol is defined in [9, p.18] and is used throughout that book.

This quantity can be very useful in some cases where we are looking for q-analogues and it is included in the new notation.

If $|q| > 1$, or
$0 < |q| < 1$ and $|z| < |1-q|^{-1}$, the q-exponential function $E_q(z)$ was defined by Jackson [13] 1904, and by Exton [9]

$$E_q(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\{k\}_q!} z^k. \tag{19}$$

Two q-analogues of the trigonometric functions are defined by

$$Sin_q(x) = \frac{1}{2i}(E_q(ix) - E_q(-ix)), \tag{20}$$

and

$$Cos_q(x) = \frac{1}{2}(E_q(ix) + E_q(-ix)). \tag{21}$$

The following multidimensional generalization of Bailey's transform was given by Exton [8, p.139].

Theorem 1.1. *If*

$$\gamma_{m_1,\ldots,m_n} = \sum_{p_1=m_1,\ldots,p_n=m_n}^{\infty} \delta_{p_1,\ldots,p_n} u_{p_1-m_1,\ldots,p_n-m_n} v_{p_1+m_1,\ldots,p_n+m_n}, \tag{22}$$

$$\beta_{m_1,\ldots,m_n} = \sum_{p_1,\ldots,p_n=0}^{m_1,\ldots,m_n} \alpha_{p_1,\ldots,p_n} u_{m_1-p_1,\ldots,m_n-p_n} v_{p_1+m_1,\ldots,p_n+m_n}, \tag{23}$$

then formally

$$\sum_{\vec{m}} \alpha_{m_1,\ldots,m_n} \gamma_{m_1,\ldots,m_n} = \sum_{\vec{m}} \beta_{m_1,\ldots,m_n} \delta_{m_1,\ldots,m_n}. \tag{24}$$

We assume that α, δ, u, v are functions of $m_1, \ldots, m_n$ only. The notation $\sum_{\vec{m}}$ denotes a multiple summation with the indices $m_1, \ldots, m_n$ running over all non-negative integer values.

Definition 8. The following notation will be convenient.

$$\mathrm{QE}(x) = q^x. \tag{25}$$

When there are several q:s, we generalize this to

$$\mathrm{QE}(x, q_i) = q_i^x. \tag{26}$$

If $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^n$ are two arbitrary sequences of complex numbers, then their scalar product is defined by

$$\vec{x}\vec{y} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j y_j. \tag{27}$$

We will only need one q-Lauricella function, which is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_D^{(n)}(a, b_1, \ldots, b_n; c|q; x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \\ &= \sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\langle a; q\rangle_{m_1+\ldots+m_n} \langle b_1; q\rangle_{m_1} \ldots \langle b_n; q\rangle_{m_n} \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{m_j}}{\langle c; q\rangle_{m_1+\ldots+m_n} \prod_{j=1}^n \langle 1; q\rangle_{m_j}}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

The following reduction theorem is a q-analogue of Appell and Kampé de Fériet [1, p. 116]. The proof uses one of the q-Vandermonde summation formulas. Because there are two such formulas, there is a quite similar equation, which was published for $n = 2$ in [22, p. 224, 4.18].

Theorem 1.2.

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_D^{(n)}(a, b_1, \ldots, b_n; c|q; x, xq^{-b_2}, xq^{-b_2-b_3}, \ldots, xq^{-b_2-\ldots-b_n}) = \\ &= {}_2\phi_1(a, b_1 + \ldots + b_n; c|q, xq^{-b_2-\ldots-b_n}). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Proof. In the LHS of (29) we change summation indices to $\{k_l\}_{l=1}^n$, where

$$k_l = \sum_{s=l}^{n} m_s. \tag{30}$$

By matrix inversion, this is equivalent to

$$m_l = k_l - k_{l+1},\ 1 \le l \le n-1,\ m_n = k_n. \tag{31}$$

(32)

$$LHS = \sum_{m_i} \frac{\langle a;q\rangle_{m_1+\ldots+m_n} \langle b_1;q\rangle_{m_1} \ldots \langle b_n;q\rangle_{m_n} x^{m_1+\ldots+m_n} \prod_{j=2}^{n} q^{-m_j(b_2+\ldots+b_j)}}{\langle c;q\rangle_{m_1+\ldots+m_n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}} =$$

$$\sum_{k_i} \frac{\langle a;q\rangle_{k_1} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \langle b_j;q\rangle_{k_j-k_{j+1}} \langle b_n;q\rangle_{k_n} x^{k_1} \prod_{j=2}^{n-1} q^{(k_{j+1}-k_j)(b_2+\ldots+b_j)} q^{(-k_n)(b_2+\ldots+b_n)}}{\langle c;q\rangle_{k_1} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \langle 1;q\rangle_{k_j-k_{j+1}} \langle 1;q\rangle_{k_n}}$$

$$= \sum_{k_i} \frac{\langle a;q\rangle_{k_1} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \langle b_j;q\rangle_{k_j} \langle -k_j;q\rangle_{k_{j+1}} q^{(k_{j+1})(-b_j+1)} \langle b_n;q\rangle_{k_n} x^{k_1}}{\langle c;q\rangle_{k_1} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \langle 1-b_j-k_j;q\rangle_{k_{j+1}} \langle 1;q\rangle_{k_j} \langle 1;q\rangle_{k_n}} \times$$

$$\prod_{j=2}^{n-1} q^{(k_{j+1}-k_j)(b_2+\ldots+b_j)} q^{(-k_n)(b_2+\ldots+b_n)}$$

$$= \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-1}} \frac{\langle a,b_1;q\rangle_{k_1} x^{k_1}}{\langle c,1;q\rangle_{k_1}} \prod_{j=2}^{n-2} \frac{\langle b_j,-k_{j-1};q\rangle_{k_j} q^{(k_j)(1-b_j-b_{j-1})}}{\langle 1,1-b_{j-1}-k_{j-1};q\rangle_{k_j}} \times$$

$$\frac{\langle b_{n-1},-k_{n-2},b_n+b_{n-1};q\rangle_{k_{n-1}} q^{(k_{n-1})(1-b_{n-2}-b_{n-1}-b_n)}}{\langle 1,1-b_{n-2}-k_{n-2},b_{n-1};q\rangle_{k_{n-1}}} =$$

$$= \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-2}} \frac{\langle a,b_1;q\rangle_{k_1} x^{k_1}}{\langle c,1;q\rangle_{k_1}} \prod_{j=2}^{n-2} \frac{\langle b_j,-k_{j-1};q\rangle_{k_j} q^{(k_j)(1-b_j-b_{j-1})}}{\langle 1,1-b_{j-1}-k_{j-1};q\rangle_{k_j}} \times$$

$$\frac{\langle 1-b_n-b_{n-1}-b_{n-2}-k_{n-2};q\rangle_{k_{n-2}}}{\langle 1-b_{n-2}-k_{n-2};q\rangle_{k_{n-2}}} =$$

$$= \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_{n-2}} \frac{\langle a,b_1;q\rangle_{k_1} x^{k_1}}{\langle c,1;q\rangle_{k_1}} \prod_{j=2}^{n-2} \frac{\langle b_j,-k_{j-1};q\rangle_{k_j} q^{(k_j)(1-b_j-b_{j-1})}}{\langle 1,1-b_{j-1}-k_{j-1};q\rangle_{k_j}} \times$$

$$\frac{\langle b_n+b_{n-1}+b_{n-2};q\rangle_{k_{n-2}} q^{-k_{n-2}(b_n+b_{n-1})}}{\langle b_{n-2};q\rangle_{k_{n-2}}}.$$

We can continue this process to obtain the final result. □

The following generalization of (29) is a q-analogue of [17] and [18]. Compare [20, (4.3), p. 107]

Theorem 1.3. *If* $\{C_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *are sequences of arbitrary complex numbers then*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}} \frac{C_{m_1+\ldots+m_n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} x^{m_j} \langle \alpha_j;q\rangle_{m_j}}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}} \mathrm{QE}\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k \sum_{l=2}^{k} \alpha_l\right) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_N x^N \langle \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k;q\rangle_N}{\langle 1;q\rangle_N} \mathrm{QE}\left(-N \sum_{l=2}^{n} \alpha_l\right). \tag{33}$$

Proof. We use induction. Suppose that (33) is true for $n > 1$, and denote the LHS by Δ_n, then

$$\Delta_{n+1} = \sum_{m_{n+1}=0}^{\infty} \langle \alpha_{n+1}; q \rangle_{m_{n+1}} \frac{x^{m_{n+1}}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{m_{n+1}}} \mathrm{QE}\left(-m_{n+1}(\alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_{n+1})\right) \times$$

$$\sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_n} C_{m_1+\ldots+m_{n+1}} \frac{x^{m_1+\ldots+m_n}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \langle 1; q \rangle_{m_i}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \langle \alpha_k; q \rangle_{m_k} \mathrm{QE}\left(-m_k \sum_{l=2}^{k} \alpha_l\right)$$

$$= \sum_{m_{n+1}=0}^{\infty} \langle \alpha_{n+1}; q \rangle_{m_{n+1}} \frac{x^{m_{n+1}}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{m_{n+1}}} \mathrm{QE}\left(-m_{n+1} \sum_{l=2}^{n+1} \alpha_l\right) \times \tag{34}$$

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} C_{N+m_{n+1}} \langle \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k; q \rangle_N \frac{x^N}{\langle 1; q \rangle_N} \mathrm{QE}\left(-N \sum_{l=2}^{n} \alpha_l\right)$$

$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} C_N x^N \mathrm{QE}\left(-N \sum_{l=2}^{n+1} \alpha_l\right) \frac{\langle \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha_k; q \rangle_N}{\langle 1; q \rangle_N},$$

where in the last step we used the induction hypothesis for $n = 2$, with the following values of the parameters in (33).

$$m_1 \to N,\ m_2 \to m_n + 1,\ \alpha_2 \to \alpha_n + 1,\ x \to x\mathrm{QE}\left(-\sum_{l=2}^{n} \alpha_l\right). \tag{35}$$

□

Remark 3. There is a dual too.

2. One variable

We begin with the case $n = 1$. In the rest of this paper, we assume that $|t| < 1, |q| < 1$.

Theorem 2.1. *If $C(m)$ is any arbitrary function, then, formally*

$$\sum_m \frac{C(m) \langle d; q \rangle_m t^m (tq^{d+m}; q)_\infty}{(t; q)_\infty} = \tag{36}$$
$$= \sum_m \frac{\langle d; q \rangle_m t^m}{\langle 1; q \rangle_m} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} C(p) \langle -m; q \rangle_p (-1)^p q^{mp - \binom{p}{2}}.$$

Proof. In theorem 1.1 put

$$\alpha_m = C(m), \tag{37}$$

$$u_m = \frac{1}{\langle 1; q \rangle_m}, \tag{38}$$

$$v_m = 1 \tag{39}$$

and

$$\delta_m = \langle d; q\rangle_m t^m. \tag{40}$$

Now (22) and (23) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_m = \sum_{p=0}^{m} \frac{C(p)}{\langle 1; q\rangle_{m-p}} = \\ = \sum_{p=0}^{m} \frac{C(p)\langle -m; q\rangle_p}{\langle 1; q\rangle_m}(-1)^p q^{mp-\binom{p}{2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_m = \sum_{p=m}^{\infty} \frac{\langle d; q\rangle_p t^p}{\langle 1; q\rangle_{p-m}} = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle d; q\rangle_{p+m} t^{p+m}}{\langle 1; q\rangle_p} = \\ = \langle d; q\rangle_m t^m \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle d+m; q\rangle_p t^p}{\langle 1; q\rangle_p} = \\ = \langle d; q\rangle_m t^m \ {}_1\phi_0(d+m; -|q, t) \\ = \langle d; q\rangle_m t^m \frac{(tq^{d+m}; q)_\infty}{(t; q)_\infty}.\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

The proof is completed by substituting (41) and (42) into (24). □

Theorem 2.2. *If $C(m)$ is any arbitrary function of m, then, formally*

$$\begin{aligned}E_q(t)\sum_m C(m)t^m(1-q)^m = \\ \sum_m \frac{t^m(1-q)^m}{\langle 1; q\rangle_m} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} C(p)\langle -m; q\rangle_p (-1)^p q^{mp-\binom{p}{2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Proof. Let $d \to \infty$ in (36). □

The theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are much too general for many practical purposes when deriving generating functions for various classes of q-hypergeometric polynomials. A more convenient form is obtained by considering the following special case.

$$C(m) = \frac{\langle (a), (f); q\rangle_m (-x)^m q^{\theta(m)}}{\langle (h), (g), 1; q\rangle_m}, \tag{44}$$

where $\theta(m)$ is an arbitrary function.

Theorem 2.1 can be written as

$$\sum_m \frac{\langle (a),(f),d;q\rangle_m(-x)^m}{\langle (h),(g),1;q\rangle_m}\frac{t^m q^{\theta(m)}}{(t;q)_{d+m}} = \tag{45}$$

$$= \sum_m \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_m t^m}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m}\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\frac{\langle (a),(f);q\rangle_p (x)^p q^{\theta(p)}}{\langle (h),(g),1;q\rangle_p}\times$$

$$\langle -m;q\rangle_p q^{mp-\binom{p}{2}}.$$

Remark 4. (45) is a q-analogue of [21, p. 328, (1.2)] and closely resembles [23, p. 108, (3.33)].

The confluent form

$$E_q(t)\sum_m \frac{\langle (a),(f);q\rangle_m(-x)^m}{\langle (h),(g),1;q\rangle_m} t^m(1-q)^m q^{\theta(m)} = \tag{46}$$

$$= \sum_m \frac{t^m(1-q)^m}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m}\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\frac{\langle (a),(f);q\rangle_p (x)^p q^{\theta(p)}}{\langle (h),(g),1;q\rangle_p}\times$$

$$\langle -m;q\rangle_p q^{mp-\binom{p}{2}}$$

follows similarly from theorem 2.2.

Another special case is obtained by putting

$$C(m) = \frac{\langle (a),(f),x;q\rangle_m(-1)^m q^{\theta(m)}}{\langle (h),(g),1;q\rangle_m}, \tag{47}$$

where $\theta(m)$ is an arbitrary function.

Theorem 2.1 can then be written as

$$\sum_m \frac{\langle (a),(f),d,x;q\rangle_m(-1)^m}{\langle (h),(g),1;q\rangle_m}\frac{t^m q^{\theta(m)}}{(t;q)_{d+m}} = \tag{48}$$

$$= \sum_m \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_m t^m}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m}\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\frac{\langle (a),(f),x;q\rangle_p q^{\theta(p)}}{\langle (h),(g),1;q\rangle_p}\times$$

$$\langle -m;q\rangle_p q^{mp-\binom{p}{2}}.$$

The confluent form

$$E_q(t)\sum_m \frac{\langle (a),(f),x;q\rangle_m(-1)^m}{\langle (h),(g),1;q\rangle_m} t^m(1-q)^m q^{\theta(m)} = \tag{49}$$

$$= \sum_m \frac{t^m(1-q)^m}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m}\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\frac{\langle (a),(f),x;q\rangle_p q^{\theta(p)}}{\langle (h),(g),1;q\rangle_p}\times$$

$$\langle -m;q\rangle_p q^{mp-\binom{p}{2}}$$

follows similarly from theorem 2.2.

2.1. **Special cases.** Put $A = F = G = 0,\ H = 1,\ \theta(m) = m^2$. in (45) to obtain

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^m}{\langle h;q\rangle_m \langle 1;q\rangle_m} \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_m t^m q^{m^2}}{(t;q)_{d+m}} = \tag{50}$$
$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_m t^m}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^p}{\langle h;q\rangle_p \langle 1;q\rangle_p} \times$$
$$\langle -m;q\rangle_p q^{mp+p^2-\binom{p}{2}}.$$

By a change of variables $x \to\ xq^{h-1}(1-q)$ this is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\{c\}_{n,q} L_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(x) t^n}{\{1+\alpha\}_{n,q}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\{c\}_{n,q}\ q^{n^2+\alpha n}(-xt)^n}{\{n\}_q! \{1+\alpha\}_{n,q} (t;q)_{c+n}} \tag{51}$$
$$\equiv \frac{1}{(t;q)_c} {}_1\phi_2(c; 1+\alpha|q; -xtq^{1+\alpha}(1-q)||-; tq^c).$$

This is a wellknown generating function for the q-Laguerre polynomials.

Put $A = H = 1,\ F = G = 0,\ \theta(m) = \binom{m}{2}$ in (46). The little q-Jacobi polynomials are defined by

$$P_n(x; a, b|q) = \sum_{p=0}^{n} \frac{\langle a+b+n+1, -n; q\rangle_p x^p q^p}{\langle a+1, 1; q\rangle_p}. \tag{52}$$

Then we obtain the following generating function for the little q-Jacobi polynomials:

$$\sum_n \frac{t^n (1-q)^n}{\langle 1;q\rangle_n} P_n(xq^{n-1}; a, b|q) \tag{53}$$
$$= E_q(t)\ {}_1\phi_1(a+b+n+1; a+1|q, xt(1-q)).$$

Denote

$${}_4\phi_7(\alpha) \equiv {}_4\phi_7 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{a+b+n+1}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{a+b+n+1}{2}}, \frac{a+b+n+2}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{a+b+n+2}{2}} \\ \frac{1+a}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{1+a}{2}}, \frac{2+a}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{2+a}{2}}, \frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{1}{2}}, \widetilde{1} \end{matrix} |q, q(1-q)^2 x^2 t^2 \right], \tag{54}$$

$${}_4\phi_7(\beta) \equiv {}_4\phi_7 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{a+b+n+2}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{a+b+n+2}{2}}, \frac{a+b+n+3}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{a+b+n+3}{2}} \\ \frac{2+a}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{2+a}{2}}, \frac{3+a}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{3+a}{2}}, \frac{3}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{3}{2}}, \widetilde{1} \end{matrix} |q, q^3(1-q)^2 x^2 t^2 \right]. \tag{55}$$

Making use of the decomposition of a series into even and odd parts from [19, p.200,208], we can rewrite (53) in the form

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{P_{2n}(xq^{2n-1};a,b|q)t^{2n}}{\{2n\}_q!}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{P_{2n+1}(xq^{2n};a,b|q)t^{2n+1}}{\{2n+1\}_q!}\\&=E_q(t)\left[{}_4\phi_7(\alpha)-xt\frac{\{1+a+b+n\}_q}{\{1+a\}_q}{}_4\phi_7(\beta)\right],\end{aligned}\tag{56}$$

and replacing t in (56) by it, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n t^{2n}P_{2n}(xq^{2n-1};a,b|q)}{\{2n\}_q!}+i\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n t^{2n+1}P_{2n+1}(xq^{2n};a,b|q)}{\{2n+1\}_q!}\\&=(Cos_q(t)+iSin_q(t))\left[{}_4\phi_7(\alpha)-ixt\frac{\{1+a+b+n\}_q}{\{1+a\}_q}{}_4\phi_7(\beta)\right].\end{aligned}\tag{57}$$

Next equate real and imaginary parts from both sides to arrive at the generating functions

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n t^{2n}P_{2n}(xq^{2n-1};a,b|q)}{\{2n\}_q!}\\&=Cos_q(t){}_4\phi_7(\alpha)+xtSin_q(t)\frac{\{1+a+b+n\}_q}{\{1+a\}_q}{}_4\phi_7(\beta)\end{aligned}\tag{58}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n t^{2n+1}P_{2n+1}(xq^{2n};a,b|q)}{\{2n+1\}_q!}\\&=Sin_q(t){}_4\phi_7(\alpha)-xtCos_q(t)\frac{\{1+a+b+n\}_q}{\{1+a\}_q}{}_4\phi_7(\beta).\end{aligned}\tag{59}$$

Finally put $A=F=G=0,\ H=1,\ \theta(m)=\binom{m}{2}$ in (48) to obtain the following generating function for q-Meixner polynomials from [23, p. 103, (3.10)]

$$\sum_m\frac{\langle x,d;q\rangle_m(-t)^m q^{\binom{m}{2}}}{\langle 1,h;q\rangle_m(t;q)_{d+m}}=\sum_m\frac{\langle d;q\rangle_m t^m}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m}{}_2\phi_1(x,-m_1;h|q,q^{m_1}).\tag{60}$$

3. Two variables

We can generalize (36) to two variables.

Theorem 3.1. *If* $C(m_1,m_2)$ *is any arbitrary function of* m_1,m_2*, then, formally*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\vec{m}}\frac{C(m_1,m_2)\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}t^{m_1+m_2}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}}\times\\&\frac{(tq^{d+m_1-k_2};q)_\infty}{(tq^{-k_2-m_2};q)_\infty}=\\&=\sum_{\vec{m}}\frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}t^{m_1+m_2}q^{\frac{m_2^2}{2}}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}}\times\\&\sum_{p_1,p_2=0}^{\infty}C(p_1,p_2)\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle -m_j;q\rangle_{p_j}(-1)^{p_1+p_2}\mathrm{QE}\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{2}\binom{p_j}{2}\right.\\&\left.-k_2(m_2-p_2)+m_1p_1+p_2^2\right).\end{aligned}\tag{61}$$

Proof. In theorem 1.1 put

$$\alpha_{m_1,m_2}=C(m_1,m_2),\tag{62}$$

$$u_{m_1,m_2}=\frac{\mathrm{QE}(\frac{3}{4}m_2^2-k_2m_2)}{\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}},\tag{63}$$

$$v_{m_1,m_2}=q^{\frac{1}{4}m_2^2}\tag{64}$$

and

$$\delta_{m_1,m_2}=\frac{q^{-m_2^2}\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}t^{m_1+m_2}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}}.\tag{65}$$

Now (22) and (23) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}&\beta_{m_1,m_2}=\sum_{p_1,p_2=0}^{m_1,m_2}\frac{C(p_1,p_2)}{\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j-p_j}}\\&\times\mathrm{QE}(\tfrac{3}{4}(m_2-p_2)^2-k_2(m_2-p_2)+\tfrac{1}{4}(m_2+p_2)^2)=\\&=\sum_{p_1,p_2=0}^{m_1,m_2}\frac{C(p_1,p_2)\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle -m_j;q\rangle_{p_j}}{\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}}(-1)^{p_1+p_2}\mathrm{QE}\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{2}\binom{p_j}{2}\right)\\&\mathrm{QE}(-k_2(m_2-p_2)+m_2^2+m_1p_1+p_2^2),\end{aligned}\tag{66}$$

and

$$\gamma_{m_1,m_2} = \sum_{p_1=m_1,p_2=m_2}^{\infty} \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{p_1+p_2} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \langle k_j;q\rangle_{p_j} t^{p_1+p_2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{2} \langle 1;q\rangle_{p_j-m_j} \langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{p_1+p_2}}$$
$$\times \mathrm{QE}(-p_2^2 + \tfrac{3}{4}(p_2-m_2)^2 - k_2(p_2-m_2) + \tfrac{1}{4}(m_2+p_2)^2) =$$
$$= \sum_{p_1,p_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{p_1+m_1+p_2+m_2} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \langle k_j;q\rangle_{p_j+m_j} t^{p_1+p_2+m_1+m_2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{2} \langle 1;q\rangle_{p_j} \langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{p_1+p_2+m_1+m_2}}$$
$$\times \mathrm{QE}(-p_2(k_2+m_2)) =$$
$$= \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j} t^{m_1+m_2}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}} \sum_{p_1,p_2=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{QE}(-p_2(k_2+m_2)) \times$$
$$\frac{\langle d+m_1+m_2;q\rangle_{p_1+p_2} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \langle k_j+m_j;q\rangle_{p_j} t^{p_1+p_2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{2} \langle 1;q\rangle_{p_j} \langle k_1+k_2+m_1+m_2;q\rangle_{p_1+p_2}} = \tag{67}$$
$$= \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j} t^{m_1+m_2}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}} \Phi_D^{(2)}(d+m_1+m_2,$$
$$, k_1+m_1, k_2+m_2; k_1+m_1+k_2+m_2 | q; t, tq^{-k_2-m_2})$$
$$= \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j} t^{m_1+m_2}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}} \times$$
$${}_1\phi_0(d+m_1+m_2; -|q, tq^{-k_2-m_2})$$
$$= \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j} t^{m_1+m_2}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}} \frac{1}{(tq^{-k_2-m_2};q)_{d+m_1+m_2}}.$$

The proof is completed by substituting (66) and (67) into (24). ∎

Theorem 3.2. *If $C(m_1,m_2)$ is any arbitrary function of m_1,m_2, then, formally*

$$\sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{E_q(tq^{-k_2-m_2}) C(m_1,m_2) \prod_{j=1}^{2} \langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j} t^{m_1+m_2} (1-q)^{m_1+m_2}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}} =$$
$$= \sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{2} \langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j} t^{m_1+m_2} (1-q)^{m_1+m_2} q^{\frac{m_2^2}{2}}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}} \times \tag{68}$$
$$\sum_{p_1,p_2=0}^{\infty} C(p_1,p_2) \prod_{j=1}^{2} \langle -m_j;q\rangle_{p_j} (-1)^{p_1+p_2} \mathrm{QE}\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{2} \binom{p_j}{2}\right)$$
$$\mathrm{QE}(-k_2(m_2-p_2) + m_1 p_1 + p_2^2).$$

Proof. Let $d \to \infty$ in (61). ∎

The theorems 3.1 and 3.2 are much too general for many practical purposes when deriving generating functions for various classes of hypergeometric polynomials. A more convenient form is obtained by considering the following special case.

$$C(m_1,m_2)=\frac{\langle(a);q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}q^{\theta(m_1,m_2)}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle(f_j);q\rangle_{m_j}(-x_j)^{m_j}}{\langle(h);q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle(g_j),1;q\rangle_{m_j}}, \tag{69}$$

where $\theta(m_1,m_2)$ is an arbitrary function.

Theorem 3.1 can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\vec{m}}\frac{\langle(a);q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}q^{\theta(m_1,m_2)}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle(f_j);q\rangle_{m_j}(-x_j)^{m_j}}{\langle(h),k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle(g_j),1;q\rangle_{m_j}}\times\\&\frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}t^{m_1+m_2}(tq^{d+m_1-k_2};q)_\infty}{(tq^{-k_2-m_2};q)_\infty}=\\&=\sum_{\vec{m}}\frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}t^{m_1+m_2}q^{\frac{m_2^2}{2}}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}}\sum_{p_1,p_2=0}^{\infty}\\&\frac{\langle(a);q\rangle_{p_1+p_2}q^{\theta(p_1,p_2)}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle(f_j),-m_j;q\rangle_{p_j}x_j^{p_j}}{\langle(h);q\rangle_{p_1+p_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle(g_j),1;q\rangle_{p_j}}\times\\&\mathrm{QE}\left(-k_2(m_2-p_2)+m_1p_1+p_2^2-\sum_{j=1}^{2}\binom{p_j}{2}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

The following confluent form follows similarly from theorem (3.2).

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\vec{m}}\frac{E_q(tq^{-k_2-m_2})\langle(a);q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}q^{\theta(m_1,m_2)}}{\langle(h),k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle(g_j),1;q\rangle_{m_j}}\times\\&t^{m_1+m_2}(1-q)^{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle(f_j),k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}(-x_j)^{m_j}=\\&=\sum_{\vec{m}}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}t^{m_1+m_2}(1-q)^{m_1+m_2}q^{\frac{m_2^2}{2}}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}}\sum_{p_1,p_2=0}^{\infty}\\&\frac{\langle(a);q\rangle_{p_1+p_2}q^{\theta(p_1,p_2)}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle(f_j),-m_j;q\rangle_{p_j}x_j^{p_j}}{\langle(h);q\rangle_{p_1+p_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle(g_j),1;q\rangle_{p_j}}\times\\&\mathrm{QE}\left(-k_2(m_2-p_2)+m_1p_1+p_2^2-\sum_{j=1}^{2}\binom{p_j}{2}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

3.1. **Special cases.**
Put $A=F=G=0,\ H=1,\ \theta(m_1,m_2)=m_1^2$ in (70). Then

$$\sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{q^{m_1^2}\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2} t^{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}(-x_j)^{m_j}\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}}{\langle h,k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}(tq^{-k_2-m_2};q)_{d+m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}} = \tag{72}$$
$$\sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j} t^{m_1+m_2} q^{\frac{m_2^2}{2}}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}} \sum_{p_1,p_2=0}^{m_1,m_2} \frac{\mathrm{QE}(-k_2(m_2-p_2))}{\langle h;q\rangle_{p_1+p_2}} \times$$
$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle -m_j;q\rangle_{p_j}(x_j)^{p_j}}{\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle 1;q\rangle_{p_j}} \mathrm{QE}\left(m_1p_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2} -\binom{p_j}{2} + p_j^2 \right).$$

By a change of variables $x_1 \to x_1q^{h-1}(1-q),\ x_2 \to x_2(1-q)$ this is equivalent to (compare [10, A.17])

$$\sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{q^{m_1^2+m_1(h-1)}\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2} t^{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}(-x_j)^{m_j}\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}(1-q)^{m_1+m_2}}{\langle h,k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}(tq^{-k_2-m_2};q)_{d+m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}} \tag{73}$$
$$= \sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j} t^{m_1+m_2}}{\langle k_1+k_2,h;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}} L_{m_1,m_2,k_2,q}^{h-1}(x_1,x_2),$$

where $L_{m_1,m_2,k_2,q}^{\alpha}(x_1,x_2)$ is the q-Laguerre polynomial in two variables given by

$$L_{m_1,m_2,k_2,q}^{\alpha}(x_1,x_2) = \frac{\langle \alpha+1;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}} \sum_{p_1,p_2=0}^{m_1,m_2} \frac{q^{p_1^2+\alpha p_1}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle -m_j;q\rangle_{p_j}(x_j)^{p_j}}{\langle 1+\alpha;q\rangle_{p_1+p_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\langle 1;q\rangle_{p_j}} \tag{74}$$
$$\mathrm{QE}(m_1p_1+\tfrac{1}{2}m_2^2+p_2^2-k_2(m_2-p_2))(1-q)^{p_1+p_2}\prod_{j=1}^{2}\mathrm{QE}\left(-\binom{p_j}{2}\right).$$

By letting $d=h,\ d=k_1+k_2$ and $d\to\infty$ in (73), we obtain q-analogues of [10, A19-A21] for two variables.

Put $F=G=H=0,\ A=1,\ \theta(m_1,m_2)=m_1^2$ in (70). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{q^{m_1^2}\langle a,d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2} t^{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2(-x_j)^{m_j}\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}(tq^{-k_2-m_2};q)_{d+m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}} \cong \\
&\sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j} t^{m_1+m_2} q^{\frac{m_2^2}{2}}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}} \sum_{p_1,p_2=0}^{m_1,m_2} \mathrm{QE}(-k_2(m_2-p_2))\times \\
&\frac{\langle a;q\rangle_{p_1+p_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle -m_j;q\rangle_{p_j}(x_j)^{p_j}}{\prod_{j=1}^2\langle 1;q\rangle_{p_j}} \mathrm{QE}\left(m_1p_1+\sum_{j=1}^2 -\binom{p_j}{2}+p_j^2\right) \cong \\
&\sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2} t^{m_1+m_2} q^{\frac{m_2^2}{2}}\langle a;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}(-x_j)^{m_j}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}} \sum_{p_1,p_2=0}^{m_1,m_2} \\
&\frac{\prod_{j=1}^2\langle -m_j;q\rangle_{p_j}(-x_j)^{-p_j}}{\langle -a+1-m_1-m_2;q\rangle_{p_1+p_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle 1;q\rangle_{p_j}} \mathrm{QE}\Big(-k_2(p_2)-m_1p_1+m_1^2 \\
&+p_1p_2-(p_1+p_2)(m_1+m_2)+\sum_{j=1}^2 p_j^2-(a-1)p_j\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

This is a q-analogue of the corrected form of [10, A22] for two variables. The symbol $\cong$ denotes that the equality is purely formal. Put $A=H=0,\ F=G=1,\ \theta(m_1,m_2)=-m_2^2+\sum_{j=1}^2\binom{m_j}{2}$ in (70). Then (compare [10, A29])

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{q^{-m_2^2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle f_j;q\rangle_{m_j}(-x_j)^{m_j}q^{\binom{m_j}{2}}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle g_j,1;q\rangle_{m_j}}\times \\
&\frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}t^{m_1+m_2}(tq^{d+m_1-k_2};q)_\infty}{(tq^{-k_2-m_2};q)_\infty} = \\
&=\sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}t^{m_1+m_2}q^{\frac{m_2^2}{2}-k_2m_2}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}}\ {}_2\phi_1(f_1,-m_1;g_1|q,x_1q^{m_1})\times \\
&{}_2\phi_1(f_2,-m_2;g_2|q,x_2q^{k_2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

By letting $k_i=g_i,\ d=g_1+g_2,\ d\to\infty$ and $(k_i=g_i,\ d\to\infty)$ in (76), we obtain q-analogues of [10, A30-A33].

Put $A=H=G=0,\ F=1,\ \theta(m_1,m_2)=-m_2^2+\sum_{j=1}^2\binom{m_j}{2}$ in (70).

Then (compare [10, A34])

$$\sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{q^{-m_2^2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle f_j;q\rangle_{m_j}(-x_j)^{m_j}q^{\binom{m_j}{2}}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}}\times$$

$$\frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}t^{m_1+m_2}(tq^{d+m_1-k_2};q)_\infty}{(tq^{-k_2-m_2};q)_\infty}= \tag{77}$$

$$=\sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}t^{m_1+m_2}q^{\frac{m_2^2}{2}-k_2m_2}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}}\ {}_2\phi_1(f_1,-m_1;\infty|q,x_1q^{m_1})\times$$

$${}_2\phi_1(f_2,-m_2;\infty|q,x_2q^{k_2}).$$

By letting $d\to\infty$ in (77), we obtain a q-analogue of [10, A36].

Put $A=F=H=0,\ G=1,\ \theta(m_1,m_2)=m_1^2$ in (70). Then (compare [10, A38])

$$\sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{q^{m_1^2}\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}t^{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2(-x_j)^{m_j}\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}(tq^{-k_2-m_2};q)_{d+m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle g_j,1;q\rangle_{m_j}}=$$

$$\sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}t^{m_1+m_2}q^{\frac{m_2^2}{2}}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}}\sum_{p_1,p_2=0}^{m_1,m_2}\mathrm{QE}(-k_2(m_2-p_2))\times \tag{78}$$

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^2\langle -m_j;q\rangle_{p_j}(x_j)^{p_j}}{\prod_{j=1}^2\langle g_j,1;q\rangle_{p_j}}\mathrm{QE}(m_1p_1+\sum_{j=1}^2-\binom{p_j}{2}+p_j^2).$$

By a change of variables $x_j\to\ x_jq^{g_j-1}(1-q),\ j=1,2$ this is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{q^{m_1^2}\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}t^{m_1+m_2}(1-q)^{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2(-x_j)^{m_j}q^{m_j(g_j-1)}\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}(tq^{-k_2-m_2};q)_{d+m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle g_j,1;q\rangle_{m_j}}$$

$$=\sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}t^{m_1+m_2}q^{\frac{m_2^2}{2}}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}}\sum_{p_1,p_2=0}^{m_1,m_2}\mathrm{QE}(-k_2(m_2-p_2))\times$$

$$\frac{(1-q)^{p_1+p_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle -m_j;q\rangle_{p_j}(x_j)^{p_j}q^{p_j(g_j-1)}}{\prod_{j=1}^2\langle g_j,1;q\rangle_{p_j}}\mathrm{QE}(m_1p_1+\sum_{j=1}^2-\binom{p_j}{2}+p_j^2) \tag{79}$$

$$=\sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\langle d;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}t^{m_1+m_2}q^{\frac{m_2^2}{2}-k_2m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle k_j;q\rangle_{m_j}}{\langle k_1+k_2;q\rangle_{m_1+m_2}\prod_{j=1}^2\langle g_j;q\rangle_{m_j}}L_{m_1,q}^{g_1-1}(x_1)L_{m_2,q}^{g_2-1}(x_2q^{k_2-m_2}).$$

By letting $k_i=g_i,\ d=g_1+g_2,\ d\to\infty$ and $(k_i=g_i,\ d\to\infty)$ in (79), we obtain q-analogues of [10, A39-A42] for two variables.

Acknowledgments. I want to thank Per Karlsson who gave some valueable comments on the new method for q-hypergeometric series, and who told me about Exton's paper.

References

[1] Appell P and Kampé de Fériet, J, *Fonctions hypergéométriques et hypersphériques,* Paris 1926.

[2] Ernst T, *The history of q-calculus and a new method,* U. U. D. M. Report 2000:16, ISSN 1101-3591, Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University, 2000.

[3] Ernst T, *A new method and its Application to Generalized q-Bessel Polynomials,* U. U. D. M. Report 2001:10, ISSN 1101-3591, Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University, 2001.

[4] Ernst T, *A new method for q-calculus* Uppsala dissertations in mathematics **25** 2002.

[5] Ernst T, A method for q-calculus. *J. nonlinear Math. Physics* **10** No.4 (2003), 487-525.

[6] Ernst T, Some results for q-functions of many variables. Preprint 2003.

[7] Ernst T, q-Analogues of some operational formulas. Preprint 2003.

[8] Exton H, Multiple hypergeometric functions and applications. Ellis Horwood, 1976.

[9] Exton H, *q-Hypergeometric functions and applications*, Ellis Horwood, 1983.

[10] Exton H, Two new multivariable generating relations, *Ark. Mat.* **30** (1992), no. 2, 245–258.

[11] Gasper, G and Rahman M, *Basic hypergeometric series,* Cambridge, 1990.

[12] Gelfand I M and Graev M I and Retakh V S, General hypergeometric systems of equations and series of hypergeometric type, *Russian Math. Surveys* **47** (1992), no. 4, 1–88.

[13] Jackson F H, A basic-sine and cosine with symbolical solution of certain differential equations. *Proc. Edinburgh math. Soc.* **22** (1904), 28–39.

[14] Jackson F H, On basic double hypergeometric functions, *Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser.* **13** (1942), 69–82.

[15] Jackson F H, Basic double hypergeometric functions, *Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser.* **15**(1944), 49–61.

[16] Hahn W, Beiträge zur Theorie der Heineschen Reihen, *Mathematische Nachrichten* **2** (1949), 340–379.

[17] Panda R., Some multiple series transformations. *J nānabha Sect.* **A 4** (1974) 165–168.

[18] Singhal B.M., On the reducibility of Lauricella's function F_D. *J nānabha* **A 4** (1974) 163–164.

[19] Srivastava H M and Manocha H L, *A treatise on generating functions,* Ellis Horwood Series: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. , New York, 1984.

[20] Srivastava H. M., A note on certain summation theorems for multiple hypergeometric series. *Simon Stevin* **52** (1978), no. 3 97–109.

[21] Srivastava H. M., A family of q-generating functions. *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* 12 (1984), **4**, 327–336.

[22] Srivastava H M and Jain V K, q-series identities and reducibility of basic double hypergeometric functions. *Canad. J. Math.* **38**, no. 1, (1986), 215–231.

[23] Srivastava H. M. and Agarwal A. K., Generating functions for a class of q-polynomials. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) **154** (1989), 99–109.

[24] Thomae J, Les séries Heinéennes supérieures. *Ann. Mat. pura appl. Bologna*, II **4** (1871) 105–139.

Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University, P.O. Box 480, SE-751 06 Uppsala, Sweden

E-mail address: Thomas.Ernst@math.uu.se