# SOME RESULTS FOR $q$–FUNCTIONS OF MANY VARIABLES

THOMAS ERNST

ABSTRACT. We will give some new formulas and expansions for $q$–Appell-, $q$–Lauricella- and $q$–Kampé de Fériet functions. This is an area which has been explored by Jackson, R.P. Agarwal, W.A Al-Salam, Andrews, Kalnins, Miller, Manocha, Jain, Srivastava, and Van der Jeugt. The multiple $q$–hypergeometric functions are defined by the $q$–shifted factorial and the tilde operator.

By a method invented by the author [20]– [23], which also involves the Ward-Alsalam $q$–addition and the Jackson-Hahn $q$–addition, we are able to find $q$–analogues of corresponding formulas for the multiple hypergeometric case. In the process we give a new definition of $q$–hypergeometric series, illustrated by some examples, which elucidate the integration property of $q$–calculus, and helps to try to make a first systematic attempt to find summation- and reduction theorems for multiple basic series. The Jackson $\Gamma_q$–function will be frequently used. Two definitions of a generalized $q$–Kampé de Fériet function, in the spirit of Karlsson and Srivastava [69], which are symmetric in the variables, and which allow $q$ to be a vector are given.

Various connections between transformation formulas for multiple $q$–hypergeometric series and Lie algebras & finite groups known from the literature are cited.

## 1. HISTORICAL INTRODUCTION

After Gauss' introduction of the hypergeometric series in 1812, the multiple hypergeometric series (MHS) was developed by a number of people, who have given name to the respective function. In 1880, Paul Emile Appell (1855-1930) [7], [8] introduced some 2-variable hypergeometric series now called Appell functions.

$$(1) \quad F_1(a; b, b'; c; x_1, x_2) \equiv \sum_{m_1, m_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m_1+m_2}(b)_{m_1}(b')_{m_2}}{m_1! m_2! (c)_{m_1+m_2}} x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2},$$

$$\max(|x_1|, |x_2|) < 1.$$

$$(2) \quad F_2(a; b, b'; c, c'; x_1, x_2) \equiv \sum_{m_1, m_2 = 0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m_1+m_2} (b)_{m_1} (b')_{m_2}}{m_1! m_2! (c)_{m_1} (c')_{m_2}} x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2},$$

$$|x_1| + |x_2| < 1.$$

$$(3) \quad F_3(a, a'; b, b'; c; x_1, x_2) \equiv \sum_{m_1, m_2 = 0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m_1} (a')_{m_2} (b)_{m_1} (b')_{m_2}}{m_1! m_2! (c)_{m_1+m_2}} x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2},$$

$$\max(|x_1|, |x_2|) < 1.$$

$$(4) \quad F_4(a; b; c, c'; x_1, x_2) \equiv \sum_{m_1, m_2 = 0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m_1+m_2} (b)_{m_1+m_2}}{m_1! m_2! (c)_{m_1} (c')_{m_2}} x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2},$$

$$|x_1| + |x_2| < 1.$$

*Remark* 1. In the whole paper, the symbol $\equiv$ will denote definitions, except when we work with congruences.

The four Lauricella functions of $n$ variables were introduced in [50]. The first systematic treatment of this subject was written in French by J.M. Kampé de Fériet (1893-1982) and Appell [8].

The Kampé de Fériet functions, in its most general form is defined in [69, p. 27]. There are many generalizations of these functions in the literature, and we refer to the books Srivastava and Karlsson [69] and Exton [25].

Much of the research in MHS today is concerned with reduction formulas, which reduces the degree of the function in some sense. Like in the one-variable case, there are also confluent forms of MHS, which are obtained by using limits.

We will now describe the method invented by the author [20]– [23], which also involves the Ward-Alsalam $q$–addition and the Jackson-Hahn $q$–addition. This method is a mixture of Heine 1846 [32] and Gasper-Rahman [26]. The advantages of this method have been summarized in [23, p. 495].

**Definition 1.** The power function is defined by $q^a \equiv e^{a log(q)}$. We always use the principal branch of the logarithm.

The variables $a, b, c, a_1, a_2, \ldots, b_1, b_2, \ldots \in \mathbb{C}$ denote parameters in hypergeometric series or $q$–hypergeometric series. The variables $i, j, k, l, m, n, p, r$ will denote natural numbers except for certain cases where it will be clear from the context that $i$ will denote the imaginary unit. The $q$–analogues of a complex number $a$ and of the factorial function are defined by:

$$(5) \quad \{a\}_q \equiv \frac{1 - q^a}{1 - q}, \ q \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \{1\},$$

(6) $$\{n\}_q! \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{n}\{k\}_q, \quad \{0\}_q! \equiv 1, \quad q \in \mathbb{C},$$

**Definition 2.** In 1908 Jackson [39] reintroduced the Euler-Heine-Jackson $q$–difference operator

(7) $$(D_q\varphi)(x) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(qx)}{(1-q)x}, & \text{if } q \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{1\}, \ x \neq 0; \\ \frac{d\varphi}{dx}(x) & \text{if } q = 1; \\ \frac{d\varphi}{dx}(0) & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

If we want to indicate the variable which the $q$–difference operator is applied to, we write $(D_{q,x}\varphi)(x,y)$ for the operator.

*Remark* 2. The definition (7) is more lucid than the one previously given, which was without the condition for $x = 0$. It leads to new so-called $q$–constants, or solutions to $(D_q\varphi)(x) = 0$.

**Definition 3.** Let [16] $\epsilon_j$ denote the operator which maps $f(x_j)$ to $f(q_jx_j)$. In our case all $q_j = q$.
    The following operator [41] will also be useful.

(8) $$\theta_j \equiv x_j D_{q,x_j}.$$

**Definition 4.** Let the $q$–shifted factorial (compare [28, p.38]) be defined by

(9) $$\langle a;q\rangle_n \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0; \\ \prod_{m=0}^{n-1}(1-q^{a+m}) & n = 1,2,\ldots, \end{cases}$$

The Watson notation [26] will also be used

(10) $$(a;q)_n \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0; \\ \prod_{m=0}^{n-1}(1-aq^m), & n = 1,2,\ldots \end{cases}$$

Furthermore,

(11) $$(a;q)_\infty \equiv \prod_{m=0}^{\infty}(1-aq^m), \ 0 < |q| < 1.$$

(12) $$(a;q)_\alpha \equiv \frac{(a;q)_\infty}{(aq^\alpha;q)_\infty}, \ a \neq q^{-m-\alpha}, m = 0,1,\ldots.$$

(13) $$\langle a;q\rangle_\alpha \equiv \frac{\langle a;q\rangle_\infty}{\langle a+\alpha;q\rangle_\infty}, \ a \neq -m-\alpha, m = 0,1,\ldots.$$

**Definition 5.** In the following, $\frac{\mathbb{C}}{\mathbb{Z}}$ will denote the space of complex numbers $\mathrm{mod}\frac{2\pi i}{\log q}$. This is isomorphic to the cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times e^{2\pi i\theta}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. The operator

$$\sim : \frac{\mathbb{C}}{\mathbb{Z}} \mapsto \frac{\mathbb{C}}{\mathbb{Z}}$$

is defined by

$$(14) \qquad a \mapsto a + \frac{\pi i}{\log q}.$$

Furthermore we define

$$(15) \qquad \widetilde{\langle a; q \rangle_n} \equiv \langle \tilde{a}; q \rangle_n.$$

By (14) it follows that

$$(16) \qquad \widetilde{\langle a; q \rangle_n} = \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} (1 + q^{a+m}),$$

where this time the tilde denotes an involution which changes a minus sign to a plus sign in all the $n$ factors of $\langle a; q \rangle_n$.

The following simple rules follow from (14). Clearly the first two equations are applicable to $q$–exponents. Compare [72, p. 110].

$$(17) \qquad \tilde{a} \pm b \equiv \widetilde{a \pm b} \mod \frac{2\pi i}{\log q},$$

$$(18) \qquad \tilde{a} \pm \tilde{b} \equiv a \pm b \mod \frac{2\pi i}{\log q},$$

$$(19) \qquad q^{\tilde{a}} = -q^a,$$

where the second equation is a consequence of the fact that we work $\mathrm{mod}\frac{2\pi i}{\log q}$.

**Definition 6.** Since products of $q$–shifted factorials occur so often, to simplify them we shall frequently use the more compact notation Let $(a) = (a_1, \ldots, a_A)$ be a vector with $A$ elements. Then

$$(20) \qquad \{(a)\}_{n,q} \equiv \{a_1, \ldots, a_A\}_{n,q} \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{A} \{a_j\}_{n,q}.$$

The following notation will be convenient.

$$(21) \qquad \mathrm{QE}(x) \equiv q^x.$$

When there are several $q$:s, we generalize this to

$$(22) \qquad \mathrm{QE}(x, q_i) \equiv q_i^x.$$

The $q$–hypergeometric series was developed by Heine 1846 [32] as a generalization of the hypergeometric series.

**Definition 7.** Generalizing Heine's series, compare [26, p.4], we shall define a $q$–hypergeometric series by

$$_{p+p'}\phi_{r+r'}(\hat{a}_1,\ldots,\hat{a}_p;\hat{b}_1,\ldots,\hat{b}_r|q,z||s_1,\ldots,s_{p'};t_1,\ldots,t_{r'}) \equiv$$

$$_{p+p'}\phi_{r+r'}\left[\begin{array}{c} \hat{a}_1,\ldots,\hat{a}_p \\ \hat{b}_1,\ldots,\hat{b}_r \end{array} |q,z|| \begin{array}{c} s_1,\ldots,s_{p'} \\ t_1,\ldots,t_{r'} \end{array}\right] =$$

$$(23) \qquad = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle\hat{a}_1;q\rangle_n \ldots \langle\hat{a}_p;q\rangle_n}{\langle 1;q\rangle_n\langle\hat{b}_1;q\rangle_n \ldots \langle\hat{b}_r;q\rangle_n} \left[(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}}\right]^{1+r+r'-p-p'} \times$$

$$z^n \prod_{k=1}^{p'}(s_k;q)_n \prod_{k=1}^{r'}(t_k;q)_n^{-1},$$

where $q \neq 0$ when $p + p' > r + r' + 1$, and

$$(24) \qquad\qquad \hat{a} = \begin{cases} a \\ \tilde{a} \end{cases}$$

*Remark* 3. In a few cases the parameter $\hat{a}$ in (23) will be the real plus infinity
$(0 < |q| < 1)$. They correspond to multiplication by 1.

*Remark* 4. The parameters $\hat{a}_i$ and $\hat{b}_i$ to the left of $|$ in (23) are thought to be exponents, they are periodic $\mod\frac{2\pi i}{\log q}$.

The general equation (23), with nonzero parameters to the left of $||$ is used in certain special cases when we need factors $(t;q)_n$ in the $q$–series. One example is the $q$–analogue of a bilinear generating formula for Laguerre polynomials [23].

This notation will be further extended as follows.

*Example* 1. Let

$$(25) \qquad\qquad E_q(x) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\{k\}_q!} x^k.$$

We have

$$(26) \qquad D_q E_q(-x^2) = -x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x^2)^k(1+q^{k+1})}{\{k\}_q!}.$$

This can't be expressed in usual $q$–hypergeometric notation in a simple way. That's why we suggest the following extension of the notation,

where an extra $k$ appears in the index to indicate the summation variable. This elucidates the integration property of $q$–calculus, i.e. to get the proper $q$–analogue, every factor in the hypergeometric formula has to be integrated in the (multiple) sum. The sum is the natural $q$–analogue of the integral, as is manifested by the definition of the $q$–integral.

$$(27) \quad D_q E_q(-x^2) = -x \; {}_1\phi_{0,\,k} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \infty \\ - \end{array} \middle| q, -x^2(1-q) \middle|\middle| \begin{array}{c} - \\ - \end{array} \middle|\middle|\middle| \begin{array}{c} 1+q^{k+1} \\ - \end{array} \right].$$

In general the new notation should look like, compare (98), (127).

**Definition 8.**

$$_{p+p'+p''}\phi_{r+r'+r'',\,k} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \hat{a}_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_p \\ \hat{b}_1, \ldots, \hat{b}_r \end{array} \middle| q, z \middle|\middle| \begin{array}{c} s_1, \ldots, s_{p'} \\ t_1, \ldots, t_{r'} \end{array} \middle|\middle|\middle| \begin{array}{c} \prod_i f_i(k) \\ \prod_j g_j(k) \end{array} \right] \equiv$$

$$(28) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \hat{a}_1; q \rangle_k \ldots \langle \hat{a}_p; q \rangle_k}{\langle 1; q \rangle_k \langle \hat{b}_1; q \rangle_k \ldots \langle \hat{b}_r; q \rangle_k} \left[ (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \right]^{1+r+r'+r''-p-p'-p''} \times$$

$$z^k \prod_{l=1}^{p'} (s_l; q)_k \prod_{l=1}^{r'} (t_l; q)_k^{-1} \frac{\prod_i f_i(k)}{\prod_j g_j(k)},$$

where $q \neq 0$ when $p+p'+p'' > r+r'+r''+1$. We assume that the $f_i(k)$ and $g_j(k)$ contain $p''$ and $r''$ factors of the form $\langle a(\hat{k}); q \rangle_k$ or $(s(k); q)_k$ respectively.

In the same way as the $\Gamma$ function plays a basic role in complex analysis, the $\Gamma_q$ function plays a fundamental role for $q$–calculus.

**Definition 9.** Let the $\Gamma_q$-function be defined by [71], [36], [47]

$$(29) \quad \Gamma_q(x) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{\langle 1; q \rangle_\infty}{\langle x; q \rangle_\infty} (1-q)^{1-x}, & \text{if } 0 < |q| < 1 \\ \frac{\langle 1; q^{-1} \rangle_\infty}{\langle x; q^{-1} \rangle_\infty} (q-1)^{1-x} q^{\binom{x}{2}}, & \text{if } 1 < |q|. \end{cases}$$

The following notation for quotients of $\Gamma_q$ functions will sometimes be used.

**Definition 10.**

$$(30) \quad \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} a_1, \ldots, a_p \\ b_1, \ldots, b_r \end{array} \right] \equiv \frac{\Gamma_q(a_1) \ldots \Gamma_q(a_p)}{\Gamma_q(b_1) \ldots \Gamma_q(b_r)}.$$

We will give a longer exposition of the $\Gamma_q$ function in [24]. Basically it has similar properties as the $\Gamma$ function except for the simple poles at $x = -n \pm \frac{2k\pi i}{\log q}$, $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

As was pointed out by Jackson [41, p.78] the $q$–analogue of hypergeometric formulas with sums in the function arguments must contain

a so-called $q$–addition. Jackson meant the Jackson–Hahn $q$–addition, compare [31, p. 362] , which is given by

(31)
$$[x \pm y]_q^n \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n} (\pm)^k \binom{n}{k}_q q^{\binom{k}{2}} y^k x^{n-k} = x^n (\mp \frac{y}{x}; q)_n, \ n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots .$$

The Ward–AlSalam $q$–addition was invented by Ward 1936 [76, p. 256] and Al-Salam 1959 [4, p. 240]

(32)
$$(a \oplus_q b)^n \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}_q a^k b^{n-k}, \ n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots .$$

Unlike the Ward–AlSalam $q$–addition, the Jackson–Hahn $q$–addition is neither commutative nor associative, but on the other hand, it can be written as a finite product.

We will find the Ward–AlSalam $q$–addition more convenient for our purposes.

The paper [11] was a breakthrough in the studies of expansions for MHS. By using an inverse pair of symbolic operators, Burchnall & Chaundy were able to prove a large number of expansion formulas for Appell functions. These results were later generalized by Verma [75] and Srivastava [64] to Kampé de Fériet functions.

In 1942 Jackson [41] made the first attempt to find a $q$–analogue of [11]. Jackson [41, p. 70] and Agarwal [2, p. 187] defined four s.k. normal $q$–Appell functions.

**Definition 11.**

(33)
$$\Phi_1(a; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2) \equiv \sum_{m_1,m_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_{m_1+m_2} \langle b; q \rangle_{m_1} \langle b'; q \rangle_{m_2}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{m_1} \langle 1; q \rangle_{m_2} \langle c; q \rangle_{m_1+m_2}} x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2}.$$

(34)
$$\Phi_2(a; b, b'; c, c'|q; x_1, x_2) \equiv \sum_{m_1,m_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_{m_1+m_2} \langle b; q \rangle_{m_1} \langle b'; q \rangle_{m_2}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{m_1} \langle 1; q \rangle_{m_2} \langle c; q \rangle_{m_1} \langle c'; q \rangle_{m_2}} x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2}.$$

(35)
$$\Phi_3(a, a'; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2) \equiv \sum_{m_1,m_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_{m_1} \langle a'; q \rangle_{m_2} \langle b; q \rangle_{m_1} \langle b'; q \rangle_{m_2}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{m_1} \langle 1; q \rangle_{m_2} \langle c; q \rangle_{m_1+m_2}} x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2}.$$

(36)
$$\Phi_4(a; b; c, c'|q; x_1, x_2) \equiv \sum_{m_1,m_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_{m_1+m_2} \langle b; q \rangle_{m_1+m_2}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{m_1} \langle 1; q \rangle_{m_2} \langle c; q \rangle_{m_1} \langle c'; q \rangle_{m_2}} x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2},$$

with the same convergence regions as before, and with even sharper convergence when $|q| < 1$ [41, p. 70].

For the four s.k abnormal $q$–Appell functions, $x_2^{m_2} q^{k\binom{m_2}{2}}$ replaces $x_2^{m_2}$ in the general term. We will see that normal functions (in a broader sense) correspond to the Ward–AlSalam $q$–addition, and abnormal functions, with $k = 1$, (in a broader sense) correspond to the Jackson–Hahn $q$–addition.

$q$–Contiguity relations for $_2\phi_1$ were found by Heine [33], and for $q$–Appell functions by Agarwal [3]. The first Saalschützian theorems for double series were published by Carlitz 1963 [13] and 1967 [14]. $q$–Analogues of [13] were found by W. A. Al-Salam [5]. W.N.Bailey (1893-1961) had been greatly influenced by Ramanujan as an undergraduate at Cambridge 1914 and wrote the first systematic treatment of hypergeometric series [10]. L.J. Slater attended Bailey's lectures on $q$-hypergeometric series in 1947-50 at London University and wrote many important papers on this subject; among her pupils were Howard Exton. R.P. Agarwal [2] also visited Bailey, in 1953, and made the aforementioned contributions to the subject.

In 1966 Slater concluded [61, p. 234] that there seemed to be no systematic attempt to find summation theorems for basic Appell series, but Andrews [6] managed to prove some summation- and transformation-formulas for basic Appell series. Some of these do not have a hypergeometric counterpart. This is typical for $q$–calculus, we almost always get more equations than we had before.

In a series of papers [52], [53] Miller has proved that by using the maximal Lie algebra generated by the first order differential recurrence relations satisfied by a MHS, we obtain addition theorems, transformations and generating functions. Then in 1980 Kalnins, Manocha and Miller [45] developed a theory which enabled the powerful use of Lie algebraic methods for the solutions of partial differential equations by two-variable hypergeometric series. As was shown in [1], this technique can also be adapted to multiple $q$–hypergeometric series. This was the Lie algebra approach.

There is also a finite group approach to transformation-formulas for $q$–MHS, which we will briefly summarize. In the footsteps of Rogers [57],[58], and Whipple [77], Lievens & Van der Jeugt [51] and Van der Jeugt [73] proved some formulas for $q$–MHS by using the invariance group or symmetry group of the particular double series.

In 1996 Kuznetsov & Sklyanin [49] showed the connection between (multiple) Macdonald polynomials and $q$–Lauricella functions.

Obviously we have not seen the end of this story yet as the subject gets more and more complex.

Various $q$–analogues of Kampé de Fériet functions occur in the literature, compare [69, p. 349-350] and [70, p. 50]. We will give a definition reminding of [26], which allows easy confluence to diminish the dimensions in (37) and (38), and has the advantage of beeing symmertic in the variables. Furthermore, $q$ is allowed to be a vector and the full machinery of tilde operators and $q$–additions will be used. The first definition is a $q$–analogue of [69, (24), p. 38], in the spirit of Srivastava. The second definition is a $q$–analogue of [69, (24), p. 38] with the restraint [69, (29), p. 38], due to Karlsson. It will be clear from the context which of the definitions we use.

**Definition 12.** The notation $\sum_{\mathbf{m}}$ denotes a multiple summation with the indices $m_1, \ldots, m_n$ running over all non-negative integer values. In this connection we put $m \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n} m_j$.

**Definition 13.** Let

$$(a), (b), (g_i), (h_i), (a'), (b'), (g_i'), (h_i')$$

have dimensions

$$A, B, G_i, H_i, A', B', G_i', H_i'.$$

Let

$$1 + B + B' + H_i + H_i' - A - A' - G_i - G_i' \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Then the generalized $q$–Kampé de Fériet function is defined by

(37)

$$\Phi_{B+B':H_1+H_1';\ldots;H_n+H_n'}^{A+A':G_1+G_1';\ldots;G_n+G_n'} \left[ \begin{array}{c} (\hat{a}) : (\hat{g_1}); \ldots; (\hat{g_n}); \\ (\hat{b}) : (\hat{h_1}); \ldots; (\hat{h_n}); \end{array} |\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{x}|| \begin{array}{c} (a') : (g_1'); \ldots; (g_n'); \\ (b') : (h_1'); \ldots; (h_n'); \end{array} \right] \equiv$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}} \frac{\langle(\hat{a}); q_0\rangle_{m_1+\ldots+m_n}((a'); q_0)_{m_1+\ldots+m_n} \prod_{j=1}^{n}(\langle(\hat{g_j}); q_j\rangle_{m_j}((g_j'); q_j)_{m_j} x_j^{m_j})}{\langle(\hat{b}); q_0\rangle_{m_1+\ldots+m_n}((b'); q_0)_{m_1+\ldots+m_n} \prod_{j=1}^{n}(\langle(\hat{h_j}); q_j\rangle_{m_j}((h_j'); q_j)_{m_j} \langle 1; q_j\rangle_{m_j})} \times$$

$$(-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{n} m_j(1+H_j+H_j'-G_j-G_j'+B+B'-A-A')} \times$$

$$\mathrm{QE}\left((B + B' - A - A')\binom{m}{2}, q_0\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{QE}\left((1 + H_j + H_j' - G_j - G_j')\binom{m_j}{2}, q_j\right).$$

**Definition 14.** Let

$$(a), (b), (g_i), (h_i), (a'), (b'), (g_i'), (h_i')$$

have dimensions

$$A, B, G, H, A', B', G', H'.$$

Let
$$1 + B + B' + H + H' - A - A' - G - G' \geq 0.$$
Then the generalized $q$–Kampé de Fériet function is defined by

(38)

$$\Phi_{B+B':H+H'}^{A+A':G+G'} \left[ \begin{array}{c} (\hat{a}) : (\hat{g_1}); \ldots; (\hat{g_n}); \\ (\hat{b}) : (\hat{h_1}); \ldots; (\hat{h_n}); \end{array} |\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{x}|| \begin{array}{c} (a') : (g_1'); \ldots; (g_n'); \\ (b') : (h_1'); \ldots; (h_n'); \end{array} \right] \equiv$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}} \frac{\langle (\hat{a}); q_0 \rangle_{m_1+\ldots+m_n} ((a'); q_0)_{m_1+\ldots+m_n} \prod_{j=1}^n (\langle (\hat{g_j}); q_j \rangle_{m_j} ((g_j'); q_j)_{m_j} x_j^{m_j})}{\langle (\hat{b}); q_0 \rangle_{m_1+\ldots+m_n} ((b'); q_0)_{m_1+\ldots+m_n} \prod_{j=1}^n (\langle (\hat{h_j}); q_j \rangle_{m_j} ((h_j'); q_j)_{m_j} \langle 1; q_j \rangle_{m_j})} \times$$

$$(-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^n m_j (1+H+H'-G-G'+B+B'-A-A')} \times$$

$$\mathrm{QE}\left( (B + B' - A - A') \binom{m}{2}, q_0 \right) \prod_{j=1}^n \mathrm{QE}\left( (1 + H + H' - G - G') \binom{m_j}{2}, q_j \right).$$

*Remark* 5. In case we want to get rid of the $\infty$ symbol, we just take away all the $-1$ and $q$–powers in the definitions. In the one-variable case, this was the original approach, see Thomae 1871 [72, p. 111], [62]. However in this case we have to watch out for convergence and we lose the confluence property.

## 2. Transformations for basic double series

In some of the proofs we will need the following $q$–summation formulas. A $q$–analogue of the Dixon-Schafheitlin theorem [19], [59, p. 24 (22)] is a corollary of Jackson's $_8\phi_7$ sum.

(39)
$$_4\phi_3 \left[ \begin{array}{c} a, b, c, \widetilde{1 + \frac{1}{2}a} \\ 1 + a - b, 1 + a - c, \widetilde{\frac{1}{2}a} \end{array} |q, q^{1+\frac{a}{2}-b-c} \right] =$$
$$= \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 + a - b, 1 + a - c, 1 + \frac{a}{2}, 1 + \frac{a}{2} - b - c \\ 1 + a, 1 + a - b - c, 1 + \frac{a}{2} - b, 1 + \frac{a}{2} - c \end{array} \right].$$

A $q$-analogue of Kummer's formula [48], the Bailey–Daum summation formula, was proved independently by Bailey 1941 [9] and Daum 1942 [18]. This was the first example of a $q$-analogue of a summation formula for a hypergeometric series with argument $-1$.

**Theorem 2.1.**
(40)

$$_2\phi_1(a, b; 1 + a - b | q, -q^{1-b}) = \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 + a - b, 1 + \frac{a}{2} \\ 1 + a, 1 + \frac{a}{2} - b \end{array} \right] \frac{\langle \widetilde{1 + \frac{a}{2} - b}, \widetilde{1}; q \rangle_\infty}{\langle \widetilde{1 + \frac{a}{2}}, \widetilde{1 - b}; q \rangle_\infty}.$$

*where* $|q^{a+1-b}| < 1$ *and* $1 + a - b \neq 0, -1, -2 \ldots.$

*Proof.* Put $c = \frac{\widetilde{a}}{2}$ in the previous formula or use [26, (1.8.1) p. 14]. The idea to use the $q$-Dixon-Schafheitlin theorem for this proof was mentioned in Daum's thesis [17], chapter 3. □

If $a$ is a negative integer, (40) must be rewritten in the form

**Theorem 2.2.**

(41)
$$
{}_2\phi_1(-2N, b; 1 - 2N - b|q, -q^{1-b}) \equiv
$$

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{2N} \binom{2N}{k}_q q^{\binom{k}{2}+k(1-2N-b)} \frac{\langle b; q\rangle_k}{\langle 1 - 2N - b; q\rangle_k} \equiv
$$

$$
2\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \binom{2N}{k}_q (-1)^k \frac{\langle b; q\rangle_k}{\langle 2N + b - k; q\rangle_k} + \binom{2N}{N}_q (-1)^N \frac{\langle b; q\rangle_N}{\langle N + b; q\rangle_N} =
$$

$$
\frac{\langle \widetilde{b}; q\rangle_N}{\langle N + b; q\rangle_N} \langle \tfrac{1}{2}; q^2\rangle_N.
$$

(42) $\qquad {}_2\phi_1(-N, b; 1 - N - b|q, -q^{1-b}) = 0, N$ odd.

*Proof.* The validity has been confirmed by Axel Riese (RISC) in Linz using Mathematica. □

*Remark* 6. For $b = +\infty$ this is a result of Gauss [27], [29]. Equation (41) is a $q$–analogue of [55, p. 43].

The following corollary enables us to find a relation for $\Gamma_q$-functions with negative integer argument.

**Corollary 2.3.**

(43) $\quad \Gamma_q\begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2N - b, 1 - N \\ 1 - 2N, 1 - N - b \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\langle \widetilde{1 - N, \widetilde{b}}; q\rangle_N}{\langle \widetilde{1 - N - b}, b + N; q\rangle_N} \langle \tfrac{1}{2}; q^2\rangle_N.$

*Proof.* Just equate (40) and (41). □

We continue with a few examples where the Jackson [40], [26, p. 11, 1.5.4] $q$–analogue of the Euler–Pfaff–Kummer transformation is used.

(44) $\qquad {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c|q, t) = \frac{1}{(t; q)_a} \, {}_2\phi_2(a, c - b; c|q, tq^b||-; tq^a).$

When no convergence region is given for a certain formula, we first assume that the absolute value of the function arguments are small.

The following two equations are $q$–analogues of [8, p. 23]

(45)
$$\Phi_2(\alpha; \beta, \beta'; \gamma, \beta'|q; x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{(x_2; q)_\alpha}$$
$${}_3\phi_2(\alpha, \beta, \infty; \gamma|q, x_1||-; x_2 q^\alpha).$$

(46)
$$\Phi_2(\alpha; \beta, \beta'; \beta, \gamma'|q; x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{(x_1; q)_\alpha}$$
$${}_3\phi_2(\alpha, \beta', \infty; \gamma'|q, x_2||-; x_1 q^\alpha).$$

The following two equations are $q$–analogues of [8, p. 23 (26)]

(47)
$${}_2\phi_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma|q, x_1 \oplus_q x_2) =$$
$$\sum_{m_1=0}^\infty \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta; q \rangle_{m_1} x_1^{m_1}}{\langle 1, \gamma; q \rangle_{m_1}} \, {}_2\phi_1(\alpha + m_1, \beta + m_1; \gamma + m_1|q, x_2).$$

(48)
$${}_2\phi_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma|q, [x_1 + x_2]_q) = \sum_{m_1=0}^\infty \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta; q \rangle_{m_1} x_1^{m_1}}{\langle 1, \gamma; q \rangle_{m_1}} \times$$
$${}_2\phi_2(\alpha + m_1, \beta + m_1; \gamma + m_1, \infty|q, -x_2).$$

This can be generalized to the following two equations, which are $q$–analogues of [25, p. 24 1.4.9]. We assume that the double sum is absolutely convergent.

(49)
$$\sum_{m_1,m_2=0}^\infty \frac{f(m_1 + m_2) x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{m_1} \langle 1; q \rangle_{m_2}} = \sum_{N=0}^\infty \frac{f(N)}{\langle 1; q \rangle_N} (x_1 \oplus_q x_2)^N.$$

(50)
$$\sum_{m_1,m_2=0}^\infty \frac{f(m_1 + m_2) x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} q^{\binom{m_1}{2}}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{m_1} \langle 1; q \rangle_{m_2}} = \sum_{N=0}^\infty \frac{f(N) x_2^N}{\langle 1; q \rangle_N} (-\frac{x_1}{x_2}; q)_N.$$

The following three expansions of $\Phi_1$ in terms of one-variable $\phi$ are $q$–analogues of the Appell and Kampé de Fériet [8, p. 24 (27), (27'), (27")] formulas.

(51)
$$\Phi_1(\alpha; \beta, \beta'; \gamma|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{m_1=0}^\infty \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta; q \rangle_{m_1} x_1^{m_1}}{\langle 1, \gamma; q \rangle_{m_1} (x_2; q)_{\alpha+m_1}}$$
$${}_2\phi_2(\alpha + m_1, \gamma - \beta' + m_1; \gamma + m_1|q, x_2 q^{\beta'}||-; x_2 q^{\alpha+m_1}).$$

(52)
$$\Phi_1(\alpha; \beta, \beta'; \gamma|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{m_1=0}^\infty \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta; q \rangle_{m_1} x_1^{m_1}}{\langle 1, \gamma; q \rangle_{m_1} (x_2; q)_{\beta'}}$$
$${}_2\phi_2(\beta', \gamma - \alpha; \gamma + m_1|q, x_2 q^{\alpha+m_1}||-; x_2 q^{\beta'}).$$

(53)
$$\Phi_1(\alpha; \beta, \beta'; \gamma | q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta; q \rangle_{m_1} x_1^{m_1}}{\langle 1, \gamma; q \rangle_{m_1} (x_2; q)_{\alpha+\beta'-\gamma}}$$

$${}_2\phi_1(\gamma - \alpha, \gamma - \beta' + m_1; \gamma + m_1 | q, x_2 q^{\alpha+\beta'-\gamma}).$$

By (47) and Jackson [40] we obtain the following two expansions of ${}_2\phi_1(|q, x_1 \oplus_q x_2)$ :

(54)
$${}_2\phi_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma | q, x_1 \oplus_q x_2) = \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta; q \rangle_{m_1} x_1^{m_1}}{\langle 1, \gamma; q \rangle_{m_1} (x_2; q)_{\alpha+m_1}}$$

$${}_2\phi_2(\alpha + m_1, \gamma - \beta; \gamma + m_1 | q, x_2 q^{\beta+m_1} || -; x_2 q^{\alpha+m_1}).$$

(55)
$${}_2\phi_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma | q, x_1 \oplus_q x_2) = \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta; q \rangle_{m_1} x_1^{m_1}}{\langle 1, \gamma; q \rangle_{m_1} (x_2; q)_{\beta+m_1}}$$

$${}_2\phi_2(\beta + m_1, \gamma - \alpha; \gamma + m_1 | q, x_2 q^{\alpha+m_1} || -; x_2 q^{\beta+m_1}).$$

By [34, p. 115] we obtain

(56)
$${}_2\phi_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma | q, x_1 \oplus_q x_2) = \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta; q \rangle_{m_1} x_1^{m_1}}{\langle 1, \gamma; q \rangle_{m_1} (x_2; q)_{\alpha+\beta-\gamma+m_1}}$$

$${}_2\phi_1(\gamma - \alpha, \gamma - \beta; \gamma + m_1 | q, x_2 q^{\alpha+\beta-\gamma+m_1}).$$

The following three expansions of $\Phi_2$ in terms of one-variable $\phi$ are $q$–analogues of [8, p. 24 (30), (30'), (30'')]

(57)
$$\Phi_2(\alpha; \beta, \beta'; \gamma, \gamma' | q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta; q \rangle_{m_1} x_1^{m_1}}{\langle 1, \gamma; q \rangle_{m_1} (x_2; q)_{\alpha+m_1}}$$

$${}_2\phi_2(\alpha + m_1, \gamma' - \beta'; \gamma' | q, x_2 q^{\beta'} || -; x_2 q^{\alpha+m_1}).$$

(58)
$$\Phi_2(\alpha; \beta, \beta'; \gamma, \gamma' | q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta; q \rangle_{m_1} x_1^{m_1}}{\langle 1, \gamma; q \rangle_{m_1} (x_2; q)_{\beta'}}$$

$${}_2\phi_2(\beta', \gamma' - \alpha - m_1; \gamma' | q, x_2 q^{\alpha+m_1} || -; x_2 q^{\beta'}).$$

(59)
$$\Phi_2(\alpha; \beta, \beta'; \gamma, \gamma' | q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta; q \rangle_{m_1} x_1^{m_1}}{\langle 1, \gamma; q \rangle_{m_1} (x_2; q)_{\alpha+\beta'-\gamma'+m_1}}$$

$${}_2\phi_1(\gamma' - \alpha - m_1, \gamma' - \beta'; \gamma' | q, x_2 q^{\alpha+\beta'-\gamma'+m_1}).$$

By [40], we get a $q$–analogue of [8, p. 25 (31)]

$$\Phi_2(\alpha; \beta, \beta'; \gamma, \gamma' | q; x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{(x_2; q)_\alpha} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta; q \rangle_{m_1} x_1^{m_1}}{\langle 1, \gamma; q \rangle_{m_1} (x_2 q^\alpha; q)_{m_1}} \times$$

$$\sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha + m_1, \gamma' - \beta'; q\rangle_{m_2}(x_2 q^{\beta'})^{m_2}}{\langle 1, \gamma'; q\rangle_{m_2}(x_2 q^{\alpha+m_1}; q)_{m_2}}(-1)^{m_2}q^{\binom{m_2}{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(x_2; q)_\alpha}\Phi_{1:1;2}^{1:1;1}\left[\begin{array}{c} \alpha : \beta; \gamma' - \beta'; \\ - : \gamma; \gamma', \infty; \end{array} |q; x_1, x_2 q^{\beta'}|| \begin{array}{c} - : -; \\ x_2 q^\alpha : -; \end{array}\right]$$

The following three expansions of $\Phi_3$ in terms of one-variable $\phi$ are $q$–analogues of [8, p. 25 (33), (33'), (33")]

(60)
$$\Phi_3(\alpha, a'; \beta, \beta'; \gamma|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta; q\rangle_{m_1}x_1^{m_1}}{\langle 1, \gamma; q\rangle_{m_1}(x_2; q)_{\alpha'}}$$

$$_2\phi_2(\alpha', \gamma - \beta' + m_1; \gamma + m_1|q, x_2 q^{\beta'}||-; x_2 q^{\alpha'}).$$

(61)
$$\Phi_3(\alpha, \alpha'; \beta, \beta'; \gamma|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta; q\rangle_{m_1}x_1^{m_1}}{\langle 1, \gamma; q\rangle_{m_1}(x_2; q)_{\beta'}}$$

$$_2\phi_2(\beta', \gamma - \alpha' + m_1; \gamma + m_1|q, x_2 q^{\alpha'}||-; x_2 q^{\beta'}).$$

(62)
$$\Phi_3(\alpha, \alpha'; \beta, \beta'; \gamma|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta; q\rangle_{m_1}x_1^{m_1}}{\langle 1, \gamma; q\rangle_{m_1}(x_2; q)_{\alpha'+\beta'-\gamma-m_1}}$$

$$_2\phi_1(\gamma - \alpha' + m_1, \gamma - \beta' + m_1; \gamma + m_1|q, x_2 q^{\alpha'+\beta'-\gamma-m_1}).$$

There are a lot of more formulas of this kind to be found, and we give 2 $q$–hypergeometric examples, which are proved by using $q$–Appel expansions. By combining (46),[41, p. 75 (33)] and [41, p. 75 (34)], we obtain

(63)
$$_3\phi_2(\alpha, \beta, \infty; \gamma|q, x_2||-; x_1 q^\alpha) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta; q\rangle_r}{\langle 1, \gamma; q\rangle_r}x_1^r x_2^r q^{r(\alpha+r-1)} \times$$

$$\frac{_2\phi_1(\alpha + r, \beta + r; \gamma + r|q, x_2)}{(x_1 q^\alpha; q)_r}.$$

(64)
$$_2\phi_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma|q, x_1) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r\langle \alpha, \beta; q\rangle_r}{\langle 1, \gamma; q\rangle_r}x_1^r x_2^r q^{r\alpha+\binom{r}{2}} \times$$

$$\frac{1}{(x_2 q^\alpha; q)_r} \, _3\phi_2(\alpha + r, \beta + r, \infty; \gamma + r|q, x_1||-; x_2 q^{\alpha+r}).$$

We present some Saalschützian theorems for basic double series taken from Carlitz [13].

Assume that

(65)
$$\gamma + \delta' = \alpha + \beta' - n + 1,$$

(66)
$$\gamma = \beta' + \beta,$$

(67) $$\delta = \alpha - \beta' - m + 1.$$

This implies that

(68) $$\gamma + \delta = \alpha + \beta - m + 1.$$

Then

(69)
$$S = \sum_{r=0}^{m} \sum_{s=0}^{n} \frac{\langle -m; q\rangle_r \langle -n; q\rangle_s \langle \alpha; q\rangle_{r+s} \langle \beta; q\rangle_r \langle \beta'; q\rangle_s q^{r+s}}{\langle 1; q\rangle_r \langle 1; q\rangle_s \langle \gamma; q\rangle_{r+s} \langle \delta; q\rangle_r \langle \delta'; q\rangle_s} =$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{m} \frac{\langle -m, \alpha, \beta; q\rangle_r}{\langle 1, \gamma, \delta; q\rangle_r} q^r \sum_{s=0}^{n} \frac{\langle -n, \alpha + r, \beta'; q\rangle_s}{\langle 1, \gamma + r, \delta'; q\rangle_s} q^s =$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{m} \frac{\langle -m, \alpha, \beta; q\rangle_r}{\langle 1, \gamma, \delta; q\rangle_r} q^r \frac{\langle \gamma - \alpha, \gamma - \beta' + r; q\rangle_n}{\langle \gamma + r, \gamma - \alpha - \beta'; q\rangle_n} =$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{m} \frac{\langle -m, \alpha, \gamma - \beta' + n; q\rangle_r}{\langle 1, \gamma + n, \delta; q\rangle_r} q^r \frac{\langle \gamma - \alpha, \gamma - \beta'; q\rangle_n}{\langle \gamma, \gamma - \alpha - \beta'; q\rangle_n} =$$

$$\frac{\langle \gamma - \alpha, \gamma - \beta'; q\rangle_n}{\langle \gamma, \gamma - \alpha - \beta'; q\rangle_n} \frac{\langle \gamma - \alpha + n, \beta'; q\rangle_m}{\langle \gamma + n, \beta' - \alpha; q\rangle_m} =$$

$$= \frac{\langle \beta + \beta' - \alpha; q\rangle_{m+n} \langle \beta'; q\rangle_m \langle \beta; q\rangle_n}{\langle \beta + \beta'; q\rangle_{m+n} \langle \beta' - \alpha; q\rangle_m \langle \beta - \alpha; q\rangle_n}.$$

If instead of (66) we assume that

(70) $$\delta' + \delta = 1 + \alpha,$$

(65) implies that

(71) $$\gamma - \beta' + n = \delta.$$

In this case,

(72)
$$S = \sum_{r=0}^{m} \frac{\langle -m, \alpha, \beta; q\rangle_r}{\langle 1, \gamma, \delta; q\rangle_r} q^r \frac{\langle \gamma - \alpha, \gamma - \beta' + r; q\rangle_n}{\langle \gamma + r, \gamma - \alpha - \beta'; q\rangle_n} =$$

$$\frac{\langle \gamma - \alpha, \gamma - \beta'; q\rangle_n}{\langle \gamma, \gamma - \alpha - \beta'; q\rangle_n} \,_3\phi_2(-m, \alpha, \beta; \gamma + n, \gamma - \beta' | q, q) =$$

$$= \frac{\langle \gamma - \alpha, \gamma - \beta'; q\rangle_n}{\langle \gamma, \gamma - \alpha - \beta'; q\rangle_n} \frac{\langle \gamma + n - \alpha, \gamma + n - \beta; q\rangle_m}{\langle \gamma + n, \gamma + n - \alpha - \beta; q\rangle_m} =$$

$$= \frac{\langle \gamma - \alpha\rangle_{n+m} \langle \gamma - \beta'\rangle_n \langle \gamma - \alpha - \beta'; q\rangle_m q^{m\alpha}}{\langle \gamma\rangle_{n+m} \langle \gamma - \alpha - \beta'; q\rangle_n \langle \gamma - \beta'\rangle_m}.$$

If $\gamma = \beta' + \beta$ it follows that

(73)

$$\Phi_1(\gamma - \alpha; \beta, \beta'; \beta + \beta'|q; x, y) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^m y^n \langle \beta' - \alpha; q \rangle_m \langle \beta - \alpha; q \rangle_n}{\langle 1; q \rangle_n \langle 1; q \rangle_m} \times$$

$$\times \sum_{r=0}^{m} \sum_{s=0}^{n} \frac{\langle -m; q \rangle_r \langle -n; q \rangle_s}{\langle 1; q \rangle_r \langle 1; q \rangle_s} \frac{q^{r+s} \langle \alpha; q \rangle_{r+s} \langle \beta; q \rangle_r \langle \beta'; q \rangle_s}{\langle \gamma; q \rangle_{r+s} \langle \alpha - \beta' - m + 1; q \rangle_r \langle \alpha - \beta - n + 1; q \rangle_s} =$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^r y^s q^{r+s} \langle \alpha; q \rangle_{r+s} \langle \beta; q \rangle_r \langle \beta'; q \rangle_s}{\langle 1; q \rangle_r \langle 1; q \rangle_s \langle \gamma; q \rangle_{r+s}} \sum_{m=r}^{\infty} \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -m; q \rangle_r \langle \beta' - \alpha; q \rangle_{m-r}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_m \langle 1; q \rangle_n} \times$$

$$\times (-1)^{r+s} x^{m-r} \langle -n; q \rangle_s \langle \beta - \alpha; q \rangle_{n-s} y^{n-s} q^{-\binom{s}{2} - \binom{r}{2} + s(\beta - \alpha + n - 1) + r(\beta' - \alpha + m - 1)} =$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^r y^s \langle \alpha; q \rangle_{r+s} \langle \beta; q \rangle_r \langle \beta'; q \rangle_s}{\langle 1; q \rangle_r \langle 1; q \rangle_s \langle \gamma; q \rangle_{r+s}} q^{s(\beta - \alpha) + r(\beta' - \alpha)} \times$$

$$\times \sum_{m=r}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \beta' - \alpha; q \rangle_{m-r} x^{m-r}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{m-r}} \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \beta - \alpha; q \rangle_{n-s} y^{n-s}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{n-s}} =$$

$$= \frac{(xq^{\beta' - \alpha}; q)_\infty}{(x; q)_\infty} \frac{(yq^{\beta - \alpha}; q)_\infty}{(y; q)_\infty} \Phi_1(\alpha, \beta, \beta'; \beta + \beta'|q; xq^{\beta' - \alpha}, yq^{\beta - \alpha}).$$

*Remark* 7. The original paper [13, p.417 eq.12] seems to contain a misprint, this was corrected in [5, p.457 eq.9]. The correct equation also occurred in [70, p. 52 (3.3)].

We are going to present a number of transformation formulas for basic Appell series. Some of these do not have a hypergeometric analogue. The following transformation [6, p.618] holds.

(74)
$$\Phi_1(\alpha; \beta, \beta'; \gamma|q; q^x, q^y) = \frac{\langle \alpha; q \rangle_\infty \langle \beta + x; q \rangle_\infty \langle \beta' + y; q \rangle_\infty}{\langle \gamma; q \rangle_\infty \langle x; q \rangle_\infty \langle y; q \rangle_\infty} \times$$

$$\times {}_3\phi_2\left(\gamma - \alpha, x, y; \beta + x, \beta' + y|q, q^\alpha\right).$$

*Proof.*

(75)

$$\Phi_1(\alpha;\beta,\beta';\gamma|q;q^x,q^y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{q^{xm}q^{yn}\langle\alpha;q\rangle_{m+n}\langle\beta;q\rangle_m\langle\beta';q\rangle_n}{\langle\gamma;q\rangle_{m+n}\langle1;q\rangle_m\langle1;q\rangle_n} =$$

$$= \frac{\langle\alpha;q\rangle_\infty}{\langle\gamma;q\rangle_\infty}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{q^{xm}q^{yn}\langle\gamma+m+n;q\rangle_\infty\langle\beta;q\rangle_m\langle\beta';q\rangle_n}{\langle\alpha+m+n;q\rangle_\infty\langle1;q\rangle_m\langle1;q\rangle_n} =$$

$$= \frac{\langle\alpha;q\rangle_\infty}{\langle\gamma;q\rangle_\infty}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{q^{xm}q^{yn}q^{r(\alpha+m+n)}\langle\gamma-\alpha;q\rangle_r\langle\beta;q\rangle_m\langle\beta';q\rangle_n}{\langle1;q\rangle_r\langle1;q\rangle_m\langle1;q\rangle_n} =$$

$$= \frac{\langle\alpha;q\rangle_\infty}{\langle\gamma;q\rangle_\infty}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\gamma-\alpha;q\rangle_r}{\langle1;q\rangle_r}q^{\alpha r}\frac{\langle\beta+x+r;q\rangle_\infty}{\langle x+r;q\rangle_\infty}\frac{\langle\beta'+y+r;q\rangle_\infty}{\langle y+r;q\rangle_\infty} =$$

$$= \frac{\langle\alpha;q\rangle_\infty}{\langle\gamma;q\rangle_\infty}\frac{\langle\beta+x;q\rangle_\infty}{\langle x;q\rangle_\infty}\frac{\langle\beta'+y;q\rangle_\infty}{\langle y;q\rangle_\infty}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{\langle\gamma-\alpha;q\rangle_r}{\langle1;q\rangle_r}q^{\alpha r}\times$$

$$\times\frac{\langle x;q\rangle_r}{\langle\beta+x;q\rangle_r}\frac{\langle y;q\rangle_r}{\langle\beta'+x;q\rangle_r} = \frac{\langle\alpha;q\rangle_\infty\langle\beta+x;q\rangle_\infty\langle\beta'+y;q\rangle_\infty}{\langle\gamma;q\rangle_\infty\langle x;q\rangle_\infty\langle y;q\rangle_\infty}\times$$

$$\times\,{}_3\phi_2\left(\gamma-\alpha,x,y;\beta+x,\beta'+y|q,q^\alpha\right).$$

$\square$

Furthermore [6, p.619].

(76)    $$\Phi_1(\beta'-x;\beta,\beta';\beta+\beta'|q;q^x,q^y) = \frac{\langle\beta+x,\beta',\beta'+y-x;q\rangle_\infty}{\langle\beta+\beta',x,y;q\rangle_\infty}.$$

*Proof.*

(77)
$$\Phi_1(\beta'-x;\beta,\beta';\beta+\beta'|q;q^x,q^y) = \frac{\langle\beta+x,\beta'-x,\beta'+y;q\rangle_\infty}{\langle\beta+\beta',x,y;q\rangle_\infty}\times$$

$$\,{}_2\phi_1\left(x,y;\beta'+y|q,q^{\beta'-x}\right) = \frac{\langle\beta+x,\beta',\beta'+y-x;q\rangle_\infty}{\langle\beta+\beta',x,y;q\rangle_\infty}.$$

$\square$

Finally [6, p.619].

(78)
$$\Phi_1(\widetilde{1-y};\beta,x+1-2y;\beta+\widetilde{1+x}-y|q;q^x,q^y) =$$

$$\frac{\langle\beta+x,\widetilde{1};q\rangle_\infty\langle x+1,2(1-y)+x;q^2\rangle_\infty}{\langle\beta+\widetilde{1+x}-y,x,y;q\rangle_\infty}.$$

*Proof.*

$$\Phi_1(\widetilde{1-y}; \beta, x+1-2y; \beta+\widetilde{1+x}-y|q; q^x, q^y) =$$

(79)
$$\frac{\langle \widetilde{1-y}, \beta+x, x+1-y; q\rangle_\infty}{\langle \beta+\widetilde{1+x}-y, x, y; q\rangle_\infty}\, {}_2\phi_1\left(x, y; x+1-y|q, -q^{1-y}\right) =$$

$$\frac{\langle \beta+x, \widetilde{1}; q\rangle_\infty \langle x+1, 2(1-y)+x; q^2\rangle_\infty}{\langle \beta+\widetilde{1+x}-y, x, y; q\rangle_\infty}.$$

□

Our next task is to find $q$–analogues of some general formulas of Carlson [15]. We first recall the following two equivalent forms of the $q$–Vandermonde theorem. The second one also appeared in [41, (29) p. 74] and [42, (60) p. 56].

**Lemma 2.4.**

(80)
$$\sum_{m+n=N} \frac{\langle b; q\rangle_m \langle b'; q\rangle_n}{\langle 1; q\rangle_m \langle 1; q\rangle_n} q^{-nb'} = q^{-Nb'} \frac{\langle b+b'; q\rangle_N}{\langle 1; q\rangle_N}.$$

(81)
$$\sum_{m+n=N} \frac{\langle b; q\rangle_m \langle b'; q\rangle_n}{\langle 1; q\rangle_m \langle 1; q\rangle_n} q^{nb} = \frac{\langle b+b'; q\rangle_N}{\langle 1; q\rangle_N}.$$

The order classification [35] for double hypergeometric series (DHS) was prevalent for a long time. Carlson [15] showed evidence in support of the need for a new classification of DHS.

The following 4 equations are $q$–analogues of Carlson's transformation [15, (8), p. 223].

**Theorem 2.5.**

(82)
$$\sum_{m+n=N} \frac{\langle b; q\rangle_m \langle b'; q\rangle_n}{\langle 1; q\rangle_m \langle 1; q\rangle_n} q^{-nb'} (x_1 \oplus_q x_2)^m x_2^n =$$

$$\langle b+b'; q\rangle_N \sum_{m+n=N} \frac{\langle b; q\rangle_m}{\langle b+b', 1; q\rangle_m \langle 1; q\rangle_n} q^{-nb'} x_1^m x_2^n.$$

*Proof.*

$$LHS = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle b;q\rangle_{N-n}\langle b';q\rangle_n}{\langle 1;q\rangle_{N-n}\langle 1;q\rangle_n} q^{-nb'} \sum_{m'=0}^{N-n} \binom{N-n}{m'}_q x_1^{m'} x_2^{N-m'} =$$

(83)

$$\sum_{m=0}^{N} \frac{x_1^m x_2^{N-m}}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m} \sum_{n=0}^{N-m} \frac{\langle b;q\rangle_{N-n}\langle b';q\rangle_n}{\langle 1;q\rangle_n\langle 1;q\rangle_{N-n-m}} q^{-nb'} \overset{\mathrm{by}(80)}{=}$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{N} \frac{x_1^m x_2^{N-m}}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m} q^{-b'(N-m)} \frac{\langle b;q\rangle_m\langle b+b'+m;q\rangle_{N-m}}{\langle 1;q\rangle_{N-m}} =$$

$$\sum_{m+n=N} \frac{x_1^m x_2^n}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m} q^{-b'n} \frac{\langle b;q\rangle_m\langle b+b'+m;q\rangle_{N-m}}{\langle 1;q\rangle_n} = RHS.$$

$\square$

**Theorem 2.6.**

$$\sum_{m+n=N} \frac{\langle b;q\rangle_m\langle b';q\rangle_n}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m\langle 1;q\rangle_n} q^{-nb'} [x_2+x_1]_q^m x_2^n =$$

(84)

$$\langle b+b';q\rangle_N \sum_{m+n=N} \frac{\langle b;q\rangle_m}{\langle b+b',1;q\rangle_m\langle 1;q\rangle_n} q^{-nb'+\binom{m}{2}} x_1^m x_2^n.$$

*Proof.* Same as above. $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.**

$$\sum_{m+n=N} \frac{\langle b;q\rangle_m\langle b';q\rangle_n}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m\langle 1;q\rangle_n} q^{nb} (x_1 \oplus_q x_2)^m x_2^n =$$

(85)

$$\langle b+b';q\rangle_N \sum_{m+n=N} \frac{\langle b;q\rangle_m}{\langle b+b',1;q\rangle_m\langle 1;q\rangle_n} x_1^m x_2^n.$$

**Theorem 2.8.**

$$\sum_{m+n=N} \frac{\langle b;q\rangle_m\langle b';q\rangle_n}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m\langle 1;q\rangle_n} q^{nb} [x_2+x_1]_q^m x_2^n =$$

(86)

$$\langle b+b';q\rangle_N \sum_{m+n=N} \frac{\langle b;q\rangle_m}{\langle b+b',1;q\rangle_m\langle 1;q\rangle_n} q^{\binom{m}{2}} x_1^m x_2^n.$$

**Corollary 2.9.** *4 $q$–analogues of* [15, (4), p. 222]*, which are valid when*

$$|x_1| + |x_2| < 1, \ a \neq c, \ c \neq b+b', \ b \neq 1, \ b' \neq 1,$$

*neither c nor $b + b' = 0$ or $-N$.*

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q\rangle_{m+n}\langle b; q\rangle_m\langle b'; q\rangle_n}{\langle c; q\rangle_{m+n}\langle 1; q\rangle_m\langle 1; q\rangle_n} q^{-nb'} (x_1 \oplus_q x_2)^m x_2^n =$$

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a, b+b'; q\rangle_{m+n}\langle b; q\rangle_m}{\langle c; q\rangle_{m+n}\langle b+b', 1; q\rangle_m\langle 1; q\rangle_n} q^{-nb'} x_1^m x_2^n,$$

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q\rangle_{m+n}\langle b; q\rangle_m\langle b'; q\rangle_n}{\langle c; q\rangle_{m+n}\langle 1; q\rangle_m\langle 1; q\rangle_n} q^{nb} (x_1 \oplus_q x_2)^m x_2^n =$$

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a, b+b'; q\rangle_{m+n}\langle b; q\rangle_m}{\langle c; q\rangle_{m+n}\langle b+b', 1; q\rangle_m\langle 1; q\rangle_n} x_1^m x_2^n,$$

(87)

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q\rangle_{m+n}\langle b; q\rangle_m\langle b'; q\rangle_n}{\langle c; q\rangle_{m+n}\langle 1; q\rangle_m\langle 1; q\rangle_n} q^{-nb'} [x_2 + x_1]_q^m x_2^n =$$

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a, b+b'; q\rangle_{m+n}\langle b; q\rangle_m}{\langle c; q\rangle_{m+n}\langle b+b', 1; q\rangle_m\langle 1; q\rangle_n} q^{-nb' + \binom{m}{2}} x_1^m x_2^n,$$

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q\rangle_{m+n}\langle b; q\rangle_m\langle b'; q\rangle_n}{\langle c; q\rangle_{m+n}\langle 1; q\rangle_m\langle 1; q\rangle_n} q^{nb} [x_2 + x_1]_q^m x_2^n =$$

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a, b+b'; q\rangle_{m+n}\langle b; q\rangle_m}{\langle c; q\rangle_{m+n}\langle b+b', 1; q\rangle_m\langle 1; q\rangle_n} q^{\binom{m}{2}} x_1^m x_2^n.$$

## 3. $q$–ANALOGUES OF SOME OF SRIVASTAVA'S FORMULAS

In this chapter we will derive some more complex $q$–analogues than before. When certain special cases of these (non-$q$)-formulas have been published, we try to find $q$–analogues of them too. We will prove 2 general multiple formulas by the $q$-Vandermonde sums, and 2 general formulas by (41). The Bailey-Daum theorem will also be used.

We first derive two $q$–analogues of the general formula [65, (4), p. 295] (88) and (91).

**Theorem 3.1.** *If $\{C_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of arbitrary complex numbers then*

(88)

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{m+n} x^{m+n} q^{2\binom{n}{2}+\sigma n}}{\langle 1, \nu; q\rangle_m \langle 1, \sigma; q\rangle_n} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_N x^N}{\langle 1, \nu, \sigma; q\rangle_N} \langle \sigma - 1 + \nu + N; q\rangle_N.$$

*Proof.*

(89)

$$LHS = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_N x^N}{\langle 1, \nu; q \rangle_N} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{\langle -\nu + 1 - N, -N; q \rangle_n}{\langle 1, \sigma; q \rangle_n} q^{n(-1+\nu+2N+\sigma)} = RHS.$$

$\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *A first $q$–analogue of* $[65, (8), \text{p. } 296]$. *If $|x| < \frac{1}{4}$ then*

(90)

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \lambda, \mu; q \rangle_{m+n} x^{m+n} q^{2\binom{n}{2}+\sigma n}}{\langle \nu, 1; q \rangle_m \langle \sigma, 1; q \rangle_n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \lambda, \mu, \frac{\nu+\sigma-1}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{\nu+\sigma-1}{2}}, \frac{\nu+\sigma}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{\nu+\sigma}{2}}; q \rangle_n x^n}{\langle \nu, 1, \sigma, \nu + \sigma - 1; q \rangle_n},$$

**Theorem 3.3.** *If $\{C_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of arbitrary complex numbers then*

(91)

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{m+n} x^{m+n} q^{2\binom{n}{2}+n(-2(m+n)-\nu+2)}}{\langle 1, \nu; q \rangle_m \langle 1, \sigma; q \rangle_n} =$$

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_N x^N}{\langle 1, \nu, \sigma; q \rangle_N} \langle \sigma - 1 + \nu + N; q \rangle_N q^{N(1-\nu-N)}.$$

**Corollary 3.4.** *A second $q$–analogue of* $[65, (8), \text{p. } 296]$.

(92)

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \lambda, \mu; q \rangle_{m+n} x^{m+n} q^{2\binom{n}{2}+n(-2(m+n)-\nu+2)}}{\langle \nu, 1; q \rangle_m \langle \sigma, 1; q \rangle_n} =$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \lambda, \mu, \frac{\nu+\sigma-1}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{\nu+\sigma-1}{2}}, \frac{\nu+\sigma}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{\nu+\sigma}{2}}; q \rangle_n x^n q^{n(1-\nu-n)}}{\langle \nu, 1, \sigma, \nu + \sigma - 1; q \rangle_n}, \quad |x| < \frac{1}{4}.$$

**Theorem 3.5.** *A $q$–analogue of* $[65, (5), \text{p. } 295]$.
  *If $\{C_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of arbitrary complex numbers then*

(93)

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n C_{m+n} x^{m+n} q^{-mn}}{\langle 1, \sigma; q \rangle_m \langle 1, \sigma; q \rangle_n} =$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{C_{2n} x^{2n}}{\langle \sigma; q \rangle_{2n}} \frac{\langle -\sigma \widetilde{+1} - 2n; q \rangle_n q^{\binom{n}{2}+n\sigma}}{\langle 1, \widetilde{1}, \sigma; q \rangle_n}.$$

*Proof.*

$$LHS = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_N x^N}{\langle 1, \sigma; q \rangle_N} \sum_{n=0}^{N} (-1)^n \frac{\langle -\sigma + 1 - N, -N; q \rangle_n}{\langle 1, \sigma; q \rangle_n} q^{n(N+\sigma)} =$$

$$(94) \qquad \sum_{N=0, N \text{ even}}^{\infty} \frac{C_N x^N}{\langle 1, \sigma; q \rangle_N} \frac{\langle \widetilde{-\sigma + 1} - N; q \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}}}{\langle -\sigma + 1 - \frac{N}{2}; q \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}}} \langle \tfrac{1}{2}; q^2 \rangle_{\frac{N}{2}} =$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{2n} x^{2n}}{\langle 1, \sigma; q \rangle_{2n}} \frac{\langle \widetilde{-\sigma + 1} - 2n; q \rangle_n}{\langle -\sigma + 1 - n; q \rangle_n} \langle \tfrac{1}{2}; q^2 \rangle_n = RHS.$$

$\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** *A $q$–analogue of [65, (9), p. 296]. If $|x| < \frac{1}{4}$ then*

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\langle \lambda, \mu; q \rangle_{m+n} x^{m+n} q^{-mn}}{\langle \sigma, 1; q \rangle_m \langle \sigma, 1; q \rangle_n} =$$

$$(95) \qquad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda+1}{2}, \frac{\mu}{2}, \frac{\mu+1}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{\lambda}{2}}, \widetilde{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}, \widetilde{\frac{\mu}{2}}, \widetilde{\frac{\mu+1}{2}}; q \rangle_n x^{2n}}{\langle \frac{\sigma}{2}, \frac{\sigma+1}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{\sigma}{2}}, \widetilde{\frac{\sigma+1}{2}}, \sigma, 1; q \rangle_n} \times$$

$$\frac{\langle \widetilde{-\sigma + 1} - 2n; q \rangle_n}{\langle \widetilde{1}; q \rangle_n} (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}+n\sigma}.$$

The following corollary appeared in different disguised form in [42, (61),p. 56]. It is almost a $q$–analogue of [12, p. 124].
By (88) we get

**Corollary 3.7.** *A first $q$–analogue of a reduction formula for the Humbert function [65, (12), p. 296].*

$$(96)$$

$$\Phi_{1:1;1}^{1:2;0} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \mu : \infty, \infty; -; \\ \infty : \nu; \sigma; \end{array} \middle| q; x, xq^\sigma \right] = {}_5\phi_4 \left[ \begin{array}{c} \mu, \frac{\nu+\sigma-1}{2}, \frac{\nu+\sigma}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{\nu+\sigma-1}{2}}, \widetilde{\frac{\nu+\sigma}{2}} \\ \nu, \sigma, \nu+\sigma-1, \infty \end{array} \middle| q, x \right].$$

*Proof.*

(97)

$$\Phi\left[\begin{array}{c}\mu:\infty,\infty;-;\\\infty:\nu;\sigma;\end{array}\Big|q;x;xq^\sigma\right]=\sum_{N=0}^\infty\frac{\langle\mu;q\rangle_n\langle\sigma-1+\nu+N;q\rangle_N x^N}{\langle 1,\nu;q\rangle_N\langle\sigma;q\rangle_N}$$

$$=\sum_{N=0}^\infty\frac{\langle\mu,\frac{\nu+\sigma-1}{2},\frac{\nu+\sigma}{2},\widetilde{\frac{\nu+\sigma-1}{2}},\widetilde{\frac{\nu+\sigma}{2}};q\rangle_N x^N}{\langle 1,\nu,\sigma,\nu+\sigma-1;q\rangle_N}$$

$$=\ {}_5\phi_4\left[\begin{array}{c}\mu,\frac{\nu+\sigma-1}{2},\frac{\nu+\sigma}{2},\widetilde{\frac{\nu+\sigma-1}{2}},\widetilde{\frac{\nu+\sigma}{2}}\\\nu,\sigma,\nu+\sigma-1,\infty\end{array}\Big|q,x\right]$$

$\square$

By (93) we get

**Corollary 3.8.** *A $q$–analogue of a reduction formula for the Humbert function [65, (13), p. 297].*

(98)

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^\infty\frac{(-1)^n\langle\mu;q\rangle_{m+n}x^{m+n}q^{-mn}}{\langle 1,\nu;q\rangle_m\langle 1,\nu;q\rangle_n}=$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty\frac{(-1)^n\langle-\widetilde{\nu+1}-2n;q\rangle_n x^{2n}q^{\binom{n}{2}+n\nu}}{\langle 1,\widetilde{1},\nu;q\rangle_n}\frac{\langle\frac{\mu}{2},\frac{\mu+1}{2},\widetilde{\frac{\mu}{2}},\widetilde{\frac{\mu+1}{2}};q\rangle_n}{\langle\frac{\nu}{2},\frac{\nu+1}{2},\widetilde{\frac{\nu}{2}},\widetilde{\frac{\nu+1}{2}};q\rangle_n}=$$

$${}_6\phi_{6,\ k}\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{\mu}{2},\frac{\mu+1}{2},\widetilde{\frac{\mu}{2}},\widetilde{\frac{\mu+1}{2}},\infty\\\frac{\nu}{2},\frac{\nu+1}{2},\widetilde{\frac{\nu}{2}},\widetilde{\frac{\nu+1}{2}},\nu,\widetilde{1}\end{array}\Big|q,-x^2q^\nu||-|||\begin{array}{c}\langle-\widetilde{\nu+1}-2k;q\rangle_k\\-\end{array}\right].$$

**Corollary 3.9.** *A $q$–analogue of [65, (14), p. 297], which leads to a relation for the product of the two Jackson $q$-Bessel functions [26], [37], [38].*

(99)

$${}_2\phi_1(\infty,\infty;\nu|q,x)\ {}_0\phi_1(-;\sigma|q,xq^\sigma)$$

$$=\ {}_4\phi_3\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{\nu+\sigma-1}{2},\frac{\nu+\sigma}{2},\widetilde{\frac{\nu+\sigma-1}{2}},\widetilde{\frac{\nu+\sigma}{2}}\\\nu,\sigma,\nu+\sigma-1\end{array}\Big|q,x\right].$$

**Theorem 3.10.** *The first $q$–analogue of [65, (16), p. 297].*

(100)

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^\infty\frac{C_{m+n}x^{m+n}\langle\nu;q\rangle_m\langle\sigma;q\rangle_n q^{-n\sigma}}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m\langle 1;q\rangle_n}=$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty\frac{C_n x^n\langle\nu+\sigma;q\rangle_n}{\langle 1;q\rangle_n}q^{-n\sigma}.$$

*Proof.*

$$(101) \quad LHS = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{C_N x^N \langle -N, \sigma; q\rangle_n \langle \nu; q\rangle_N q^{n(-\nu+1-\sigma)}}{\langle 1; q\rangle_N \langle 1, -\nu+1-N; q\rangle_n} =$$

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_N x^N \langle \nu, -\nu+1-\sigma-N; q\rangle_N}{\langle 1, -\nu+1-N; q\rangle_N} = RHS.$$

$\square$

There is also a second $q$–analogue of [65, (16), p. 297].

**Theorem 3.11.**

$$(102) \quad \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{m+n} x^{m+n} \langle \nu; q\rangle_m \langle \sigma; q\rangle_n q^{n\nu}}{\langle 1; q\rangle_m \langle 1; q\rangle_n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_n x^n \langle \nu+\sigma; q\rangle_n}{\langle 1; q\rangle_n}.$$

By putting

$$(103) \quad C_n = \frac{\langle a; q\rangle_n}{\langle c; q\rangle_n}, \ \nu = b, \ \sigma = b', \ x = q^{c-a-b}$$

in the two equations above, we get the following two special cases, which are $q$–analogues of [8, (24), p. 22].

**Corollary 3.12.**

$$(104) \quad \begin{aligned} &\Phi_1(a; b, b'; c|q; q^{c-a-b}, q^{c-a-b-b'}) = \\ &\Phi_1(a; b, b'; c|q; q^{c-a-b-b'}, q^{c-a-b'}) = \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} c, c-a-b-b' \\ c-a, c-b-b' \end{array} \right], \end{aligned}$$

*where* $|q^{c-a-b-b'}| < 1.$

*Proof.*
(105)
$$\Phi_1(a;b,b';c|q;q^{c-a-b},q^{c-a-b-b'}) =$$

$$\sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{\langle a,b';q\rangle_{m_2}q^{(c-a-b-b')m_2}}{\langle 1,c;q\rangle_{m_2}}\sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty}\frac{\langle a+m_2,b;q\rangle_{m_1}q^{(c-a-b)m_1}}{\langle 1,c+m_2;q\rangle_{m_1}}$$

$$=\sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{\langle a,b';q\rangle_{m_2}q^{(c-a-b-b')m_2}}{\langle 1,c;q\rangle_{m_2}}\Gamma_q\left[\begin{array}{c}c+m_2,c-a-b\\c-a,c+m_2-b\end{array}\right]$$

$$=\sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{\langle a,b';q\rangle_{m_2}q^{(c-a-b-b')m_2}}{\langle 1,c;q\rangle_{m_2}}\Gamma_q\left[\begin{array}{c}c,c-a-b\\c-a,c-b\end{array}\right]\frac{\langle c;q\rangle_{m_2}}{\langle c-b;q\rangle_{m_2}}$$

$$=\Gamma_q\left[\begin{array}{c}c,c-a-b,c-b,c-a-b-b'\\c-a,c-b,c-b-a,c-b-b'\end{array}\right]=\Gamma_q\left[\begin{array}{c}c,c-a-b-b'\\c-a,c-b-b'\end{array}\right]$$

$$=\Phi_1(a;b,b';c|q,q^{c-a-b-b'},q^{c-a-b'})$$

$\square$

For $n=2$, the above theorem implies the following reduction theorem for a $q$–Lauricella function from [21, p. 5 (27)].

**Theorem 3.13.**

(106)
$$\Phi_D^{(n)}(a,b_1,\ldots,b_n;c|q;x,xq^{-b_2},xq^{-b_2-b_3},\ldots,xq^{-b_2-\ldots-b_n}) =$$
$$= {}_2\phi_1(a,b_1+\ldots+b_n;c|q,xq^{-b_2-\ldots-b_n}),$$

where

$$\Phi_D^{(n)}(a,b_1,\ldots,b_n;c|q;x_1,\ldots,x_n) \equiv$$
(107)
$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}}\frac{\langle a;q\rangle_{m_1+\ldots+m_n}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\langle b_j;q\rangle_{m_j}x_j^{m_j}}{\langle c;q\rangle_{m_1+\ldots+m_n}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}},\ \max(|x|,\ldots,|x_n|)<1.$$

**Theorem 3.14.** *A $q$–analogue of* [65, (17), p. 297].

(108)
$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^nC_{m+n}x^{m+n}\langle v;q\rangle_m\langle v;q\rangle_n}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m\langle 1;q\rangle_n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{C_{2n}x^{2n}\langle v,\widetilde{v};q\rangle_n}{\langle 1;q^2\rangle_n}.$$

*Proof.* See the proof of (125). $\square$

By applying the Bailey-Daum theorem, we can prove the following

**Theorem 3.15.** *A $q$–analogue of* [63, p. 104].

$$\Phi_1(a;b,b';1+a+b-b'|q;q^{1-b'},-q^{1-b'}) =$$
(109)
$$=\Gamma_q\left[\begin{array}{c}1+a+b-b',1-b',1+\frac{a}{2}\\1+a,1+b-b',1+\frac{a}{2}-b'\end{array}\right]\frac{\langle 1+\widetilde{\frac{a}{2}-b'},\widetilde{1};q\rangle_\infty}{\langle 1+\widetilde{\frac{a}{2}},1-\widetilde{b'};q\rangle_\infty},$$

where $|q^{1-b'}| < 1$.

*Proof.*

(110)

$$LHS = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a, b'; q\rangle_n}{\langle 1, 1 + a + b - b'; q\rangle_n}(-1)^n q^{n(1-b')}$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a + n, b; q\rangle_m}{\langle 1, 1 + a + b - b' + n; q\rangle_m} q^{m(1-b')} =$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a, b'; q\rangle_n}{\langle 1; q\rangle_n}(-1)^n q^{n(1-b')} \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 + a + b - b', 1 - b' \\ 1 + b - b', 1 + a - b' + n \end{array} \right] (1 - q)^{-n}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a, b'; q\rangle_n}{\langle 1, 1 + a - b'; q\rangle_n}(-1)^n q^{n(1-b')} \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 + a + b - b', 1 - b' \\ 1 + b - b', 1 + a - b' \end{array} \right] = RHS.$$

$\square$

When $a$ is a negative integer, the above theorem must be rewritten in the form

**Theorem 3.16.**

$$\Phi_1(-2N; b, b'; 1 + a + b - b'|q; q^{1-b'}, -q^{1-b'}) =$$

(111)

$$= \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 - 2N + b - b', 1 - b' \\ 1 - 2N - b', 1 + b - b' \end{array} \right] \frac{\langle \widetilde{b'}; q\rangle_N}{\langle N + b'; q\rangle_N} \langle \tfrac{1}{2}; q^2\rangle_N.$$

(112) $\quad \Phi_1(-N; b, b'; 1 + a + b - b'|q; q^{1-b'}, -q^{1-b'}) = 0, \; N \text{ odd}.$

*Proof.*
(113)

$$LHS = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -2N, b'; q\rangle_n}{\langle 1, 1 - 2N - b'; q\rangle_n}(-1)^n q^{n(1-b')} \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 - 2N + b - b', 1 - b' \\ 1 + b - b', 1 - 2N - b' \end{array} \right] = RHS$$

$\square$

The following 4 equations follow from (100). Each of them has a dual by (102).

**Corollary 3.17.** *A q–analogue of* [66, (2.3), p. 100].

(114)

$$\Phi_{2:0;0}^{2:1;1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \alpha, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} : \gamma; \delta; \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 + \alpha - \gamma - \delta : -; -; \end{array} |q; -1, -q^{-\delta} \right] =$$

$$_3\phi_2 \left[ \begin{array}{c} \alpha, 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}, \gamma + \delta \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}, 1 + \alpha - \gamma - \delta \end{array} |q, -q^{-\delta} \right].$$

**Corollary 3.18.** *A $q$–analogue of* [66, (2.5), p. 100].

(115)
$$\Phi_{3:0;0}^{3:1;1}\left[\begin{array}{c}\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2},\beta:\gamma;\delta;\\ \frac{\alpha}{2},1+\alpha-\beta,1+\alpha-\gamma-\delta:-;-;\end{array}|q;1,q^{-\delta}\right]=$$
$$_4\phi_3\left[\begin{array}{c}\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2},\beta,\gamma+\delta\\ \frac{\alpha}{2},1+\alpha-\beta,1+\alpha-\gamma-\delta\end{array}|q,q^{-\delta}\right].$$

In the same way we obtain the following

**Corollary 3.19.** *A $q$–analogue of* [66, (3.12), p. 104].

(116)
$$\Phi_{2:0;0}^{2:1;1}\left[\begin{array}{c}\alpha,-N:\gamma;\delta;\\ 2\alpha,1+\gamma+\delta-\alpha-N:-;-;\end{array}|q;q,q^{\gamma+1}\right]=\frac{\langle\alpha,2\alpha-\gamma-\delta;q\rangle_N}{\langle 2\alpha,\alpha-\gamma-\delta;q\rangle_N}.$$

**Corollary 3.20.** *A $q$–analogue of* [66, (3.13), p. 105].

(117)
$$\Phi_{2:0;0}^{2:1;1}\left[\begin{array}{c}\alpha,\beta:-M;-N;\\ 2\alpha,1+\beta-\alpha-M-N:-;-;\end{array}|q;q,q^{-M+1}\right]=\frac{\langle\alpha,2\alpha-\beta;q\rangle_{M+N}}{\langle 2\alpha,\alpha-\beta;q\rangle_{M+N}}.$$

*Proof.*

(118)
$$LHS=3\phi_2\left[\begin{array}{c}\alpha,-M-N,\beta\\ 2\alpha,1+\beta-\alpha-M-N\end{array}|q,q\right]=RHS$$

$\square$

The following theorem is a $q$–analogue of Srivastavas generalization of Carlson's identity from [67, (3), p. 139]. Notice the difference in character to the equations in chapter two.

**Theorem 3.21.** *If $\{C_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence of complex numbers,*
$$x_1\neq 0,\ v\neq 1,\ \sigma\neq 1,\ v+\sigma\neq 0,-1,-2\ldots$$
*and the two double series are absolutely convergent, then*

(119)
$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty}\frac{C_{m+n}x_2^{m+n}(-\frac{x_1}{x_2}q^{-\sigma};q)_m\langle v;q\rangle_m\langle\sigma;q\rangle_n}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m\langle 1;q\rangle_n}\times$$
$$QE\left(-\binom{m}{2}+\binom{n}{2}+\sigma m+n(1-m-n)\right)=$$
$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty}\frac{C_{m+n}x_1^m x_2^n\langle v;q\rangle_m\langle v+\sigma+m;q\rangle_n}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m\langle 1;q\rangle_n}QE\left(-\binom{n}{2}-mn)\right).$$

*Remark* 8. The LHS of the above equation can also be written
(120)
$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{m+n}x_2^n[x_2q^\sigma+x_1]_q^m\langle v;q\rangle_m\langle\sigma;q\rangle_n}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m\langle 1;q\rangle_n}\mathrm{QE}\left(-\binom{m}{2}+\binom{n}{2}+n(1-m-n)\right).$$

*Proof.* We will use the $q$-Euler–Pfaff equation (44).

(121)
$$RHS = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{N}\frac{(-1)^nC_Nx_1^N(\frac{x_2}{x_1})^n\langle v;q\rangle_N\langle -N,1-v-\sigma-N;q\rangle_n}{\langle 1;q\rangle_N\langle 1,1-v-N;q\rangle_n}q^{n(1+\sigma)} =$$

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty}\frac{C_Nx_1^N\langle v;q\rangle_N}{\langle 1;q\rangle_N(-\frac{x_2}{x_1}q^{1+\sigma};q)_{-N}}{}_2\phi_2\left[\begin{array}{c}\sigma,-N\\1-v-N\end{array}\Big|q,-\frac{x_2}{x_1}q^{2-v-N}\Big|\Big|\begin{array}{c}-\\-\frac{x_2}{x_1}q^{1+\sigma-N}\end{array}\right] =$$

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty}\frac{C_Nx_1^N\langle v;q\rangle_N}{\langle 1;q\rangle_N}\sum_{n=0}^{N}\frac{\langle -N,\sigma;q\rangle_n}{\langle 1,1-v-N;q\rangle_n}\frac{(\frac{x_2}{x_1}q^{2-v-N})^nq^{\binom{n}{2}}}{(-\frac{x_2}{x_1}q^{1+\sigma};q)_{n-N}} =$$

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty}\frac{C_Nx_1^N\langle v;q\rangle_N}{\langle 1;q\rangle_N}\sum_{n=0}^{N}\frac{\langle -N,\sigma;q\rangle_n}{\langle 1,1-v-N;q\rangle_n}(\frac{y}{x_1}q^{2-v-N})^n\times$$

$$(-\frac{x_1}{x_2}q^{-\sigma};q)_{N-n}\mathrm{QE}\left(-\binom{N-n}{2}+\binom{n}{2}+\sigma(N-n)\right)(\frac{x_2}{x_1})^{N-n} =$$

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{N}\frac{C_Nx_1^N\langle\sigma;q\rangle_n\langle v;q\rangle_{N-n}}{\langle 1;q\rangle_n\langle 1;q\rangle_{N-n}}(-\frac{x_1}{x_2}q^{-\sigma};q)_{N-n}\times$$

$$\mathrm{QE}\left(-\binom{N-n}{2}+\binom{n}{2}+\sigma(N-n)+n(1-N)\right)(\frac{x_2}{x_1})^N = LHS.$$

$\square$

The following generalization of (100) is a $q$–analogue of [54] and [60]. Compare [66, (4.3), p. 107]

**Theorem 3.22.** *If $\{C_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are sequences of arbitrary complex numbers then*

(122)
$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}}\frac{C_{m_1+\ldots+m_n}\prod_{j=1}^n x^{m_j}\langle\alpha_j;q\rangle_{m_j}}{\prod_{j=1}^n\langle 1;q\rangle_{m_j}}\mathrm{QE}\left(-\sum_{k=1}^n m_k\sum_{l=2}^k\alpha_l\right) =$$
$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty}\frac{C_Nx^N\langle\sum_{k=1}^n\alpha_k;q\rangle_N}{\langle 1;q\rangle_N}\mathrm{QE}\left(-N\sum_{l=2}^n\alpha_l\right).$$

*Proof.* We use induction. Suppose that (122) is true for $n > 1$, and denote the LHS by $\Delta_n$, then

(123)

$$\Delta_{n+1} = \sum_{m_{n+1}=0}^{\infty} \langle \alpha_{n+1}; q \rangle_{m_{n+1}} \frac{x^{m_{n+1}}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{m_{n+1}}} \mathrm{QE}\left(-m_{n+1}(\alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_{n+1})\right) \times$$

$$\sum_{m_1,\ldots,m_n} C_{m_1+\ldots+m_{n+1}} \frac{x^{m_1+\ldots+m_n}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \langle 1; q \rangle_{m_i}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \langle \alpha_k; q \rangle_{m_k} \mathrm{QE}\left(-m_k \sum_{l=2}^{k} \alpha_l\right)$$

$$= \sum_{m_{n+1}=0}^{\infty} \langle \alpha_{n+1}; q \rangle_{m_{n+1}} \frac{x^{m_{n+1}}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{m_{n+1}}} \mathrm{QE}\left(-m_{n+1} \sum_{l=2}^{n+1} \alpha_l\right) \times$$

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} C_{N+m_{n+1}} \langle \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k; q \rangle_N \frac{x^N}{\langle 1; q \rangle_N} \mathrm{QE}\left(-N \sum_{l=2}^{n} \alpha_l\right)$$

$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} C_N x^N \mathrm{QE}\left(-N \sum_{l=2}^{n+1} \alpha_l\right) \frac{\langle \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \alpha_k; q \rangle_N}{\langle 1; q \rangle_N},$$

where in the last step we used the induction hypothesis for $n = 2$, with the following values of the parameters in (122).

$$(124) \quad m_1 \to N, \ m_2 \to m_n + 1, \ \alpha_2 \to \alpha_n + 1, \ x \to x\mathrm{QE}\left(-\sum_{l=2}^{n} \alpha_l\right).$$

$\square$

*Remark* 9. There is a dual too.

## 4. $q$–Analogues of reducibility theorems of Karlsson

Karlsson [46] has derived two interesting reduction formulas of general character for triple sums, which can be used to deduce many reduction formulas for hypergeometric functions in three variables [69, p. 311]. The formulas (39) and (41) are used in the proofs. Clearly many more reducibility formulas of this type can be deduced. We illustrate with a $q$–analogue of one interesting special case known from the literature.

**Theorem 4.1.** *A $q$–analogue of* [46, p. 200].

*If* $\{C_{m,n}\}_{m,n=0}^{\infty}$ *is a sequence of arbitrary complex numbers then*

(125)
$$\sum_{m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{m,n+p}\langle b;q\rangle_n\langle b;q\rangle_p x_1^m x_2^n(-x_2)^p}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m\langle 1;q\rangle_n\langle 1;q\rangle_p} =$$
$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{m,2k}\langle b,\widetilde{b};q\rangle_k x_1^m x_2^{2k}}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m\langle 1,\widetilde{1};q\rangle_k}.$$

*Proof.*

(126)
$$LHS = \sum_{m,t=0}^{\infty}\sum_{p=0}^{t} \frac{C_{m,t}\langle b;q\rangle_t\langle b,-t;q\rangle_p x_1^m x_2^t(-1)^p q^{p(1-b)}}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m\langle 1;q\rangle_t\langle 1,1-t-b;q\rangle_p} =$$
$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{t=0,t\text{ even}}^{\infty} \frac{C_{m,t}\langle b;q\rangle_t x_1^m x_2^t}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m\langle 1;q\rangle_t} \frac{\langle\widetilde{b};q\rangle_{\frac{t}{2}}\langle\frac{1}{2};q^2\rangle_{\frac{t}{2}}}{\langle b+\frac{t}{2};q\rangle_{\frac{t}{2}}} = RHS.$$

$\square$

*Remark* 10. We obtain (108) by putting $x_1 = 0$ in the theorem above.

**Corollary 4.2.** *A* $q$*–analogue of* [46, 2.5, p. 201].

(127)
$$\Phi_D^{(3)}(a+1,1+a-c,b,b;c|q;-q^{c-a},x,-x) = \Gamma_q\begin{bmatrix} c,\frac{a}{2} \\ a,c-\frac{a}{2} \end{bmatrix} \times$$
$$\frac{\langle\widetilde{1,c-\frac{a}{2}};q\rangle_\infty}{\langle\widetilde{c-a,1+\frac{a}{2}};q\rangle_\infty(1+q^{\frac{a}{2}})} \, {}_2\phi_1\begin{bmatrix} b,\frac{a}{2} \\ c-\frac{a}{2} \end{bmatrix}|q^2,x^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Proof.*

Put $C_{m,n} = \dfrac{\langle a+1;q\rangle_{m+n}\langle 1+a-c;q\rangle_m}{\langle c;q\rangle_{m+n}}$; $x_1 = -q^{c-a}$ in (125).

(128)
$$RHS = \sum_{m,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a;q\rangle_{2k}\langle 1+a-c,a+2k;q\rangle_m}{\langle c;q\rangle_{2k}\langle 1,c+2k;q\rangle_m} \frac{\langle b,\widetilde{b};q\rangle_k}{\langle 1,\widetilde{1};q\rangle_k} x^{2k}(-1)^m q^{m(c-a)} =$$
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a;q\rangle_{2k}}{\langle c;q\rangle_{2k}} \frac{\langle b,\widetilde{b};q\rangle_k}{\langle 1,\widetilde{1};q\rangle_k} x^{2k} \, \Gamma_q\begin{bmatrix} c+2k,\frac{a}{2}+1+k \\ 1+a+2k,c-\frac{a}{2}+k \end{bmatrix} \times$$
$$\frac{\langle\widetilde{-\frac{a}{2}+c+k,1};q\rangle_\infty}{\langle\widetilde{\frac{a}{2}+1+k,c-a};q\rangle_\infty} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle\frac{a}{2},b,\widetilde{b};q\rangle_k}{\langle c-\frac{a}{2},\widetilde{1},1;q\rangle_k} x^{2k} \times$$
$$\Gamma_q\begin{bmatrix} c,\frac{a}{2} \\ a,c-\frac{a}{2} \end{bmatrix} \frac{\langle\widetilde{-\frac{a}{2}+c+k};q\rangle_{a+1-c}}{\langle\widetilde{c-a};q\rangle_{a+1-c}(1+q^{\frac{a}{2}+k})} = LHS.$$

$\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *A $q$-analogue of* [46, 4.1 p. 202].

If $\{C_{m,n}\}_{m,n=0}^{\infty}$ *is a sequence of arbitrary complex numbers then*

(129)
$$\sum_{m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{m,n+p}\langle a,b;q\rangle_n \langle a,b,\widetilde{1-\frac{n+p}{2}};q\rangle_p x_1^m x_2^n (-x_2)^p q^{\frac{p}{2}(n-1)}}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m \langle 1;q\rangle_n \langle 1,\widetilde{-\frac{n+p}{2}};q\rangle_p} =$$
$$\sum_{m,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{m,2k}\langle a,b,\widetilde{1-k},\widetilde{b};q\rangle_k \langle a+b;q\rangle_{2k} x_1^m x_2^{2k} q^{-kb}}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m \langle 1,a+b,\widetilde{1-b-k},\widetilde{1};q\rangle_k}.$$

*Proof.* Compare [56, p. 56].

(130)
$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{p=0}^{n} \frac{C_{m,n}\langle a,b;q\rangle_n \langle a,b,-n,\widetilde{1-\frac{n}{2}};q\rangle_p \, x_1^m x_2^n q^{\binom{p}{2}+p(2-n-a-b)+\frac{p}{2}(n-p-1)}}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m \langle 1;q\rangle_n \langle 1,1-n-b,1-n-a,\widetilde{-\frac{n}{2}};q\rangle_p} \overset{\text{by}(39)}{=}$$

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{m,n}\langle a,b;q\rangle_n \, x_1^m x_2^n}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m \langle 1;q\rangle_n} \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1-b-n,1-a-n,1-\frac{n}{2},1-a-b-\frac{n}{2} \\ 1-n,1-a-b-n,1-b-\frac{n}{2},1-a-\frac{n}{2} \end{array} \right] =$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0,n \text{ even}}^{\infty} \frac{C_{m,n}\langle a,b;q\rangle_n \, x_1^m x_2^n}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m \langle 1;q\rangle_n} \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1-a-n,1-a-b-\frac{n}{2} \\ 1-a-b-n,1-a-\frac{n}{2} \end{array} \right] \times$$

$$\frac{\langle \widetilde{1-\frac{n}{2}},\widetilde{b};q\rangle_{\frac{n}{2}}}{\langle \widetilde{1-\frac{n}{2}-b},b+\frac{n}{2};q\rangle_{\frac{n}{2}}}\langle \tfrac{1}{2};q^2\rangle_{\frac{n}{2}} = \sum_{m,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{m,2k}\langle a,b;q\rangle_{2k} \, x_1^m x_2^{2k}}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m \langle 1;q\rangle_{2k}} \times$$

$$\frac{\langle 1-a-b-2k,\widetilde{1-k},\widetilde{b};q\rangle_k}{\langle 1-a-2k,\widetilde{1-k-b},b+k;q\rangle_k}\langle \tfrac{1}{2};q^2\rangle_k = RHS.$$

$\square$

## 5. $q$–ANALOGUES OF BURCHNALL–CHAUNDY EXPANSIONS

This chapter is mainly based on the following inverse pair of symbolic operators defined in [11]. We will get an improved version of [41] in the process, compare [2].

(131) $\quad \bigtriangledown_q(h) \equiv \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} h,h+\theta_1+\theta_2 \\ h+\theta_1,h+\theta_2 \end{array} \right], \quad \triangle_q(h) \equiv \Gamma_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} h+\theta_1,h+\theta_2 \\ h+\theta_1+\theta_2,h \end{array} \right].$

Then

(132) $\qquad \bigtriangledown_q(h)\langle h;q\rangle_m \langle h;q\rangle_n x_1^m x_2^n = \langle h;q\rangle_{m+n} x_1^m x_2^n.$

Recall that
(133)
$$_2\phi_1(a,b;c|q,x_1)\,_2\phi_1(a',b';c'|q,x_2) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a,b;q\rangle_m \langle a',b';q\rangle_n}{\langle 1,c;q\rangle_m \langle 1,c';q\rangle_n} x_1^m x_2^n.$$

$$(134)\qquad _2\phi_1(a,b;c|q,x_1 \oplus_q x_2) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a;q\rangle_{m+n} \langle b;q\rangle_{m+n}}{\langle 1;q\rangle_m \langle 1;q\rangle_n \langle c;q\rangle_{m+n}} x_1^m x_2^n.$$

We can write symbolically (the last three equations first appeared in [41, (14) p. 72])
(135)
$$\Phi_2(a;b,b';c,c'|q;x_1,x_2) = \nabla_q(a)_2\phi_1(a,b;c|q,x_1)_2\phi_1(a,b';c'|q,x_2).$$

(136)
$$\Phi_3(a,a';b,b';c|q;x_1,x_2) = \triangle_q(c)\,_2\phi_1(a,b;c|q,x_1)\,_2\phi_1(a',b';c|q,x_2).$$

(137)
$$\Phi_1(a;b,b';c|q;x_1,x_2) = \nabla_q(a)\,\triangle_q(c)_2\phi_1(a,b;c|q,x_1)_2\phi_1(a,b';c|q,x_2).$$

$$(138)\qquad \Phi_1(a;b,b';c|q;x_1,x_2) = \nabla_q(a)\Phi_3(a,a;b,b';c|q;x_1,x_2).$$

$$(139)\qquad \Phi_1(a;b,b';c|q;x_1,x_2) = \triangle_q(c)\Phi_2(a;b,b';c,c|q;x_1,x_2).$$

$$(140)\qquad \Phi_4(a,b;c,c'|q;x_1,x_2) = \nabla_q(b)\Phi_2(a;b,b;c,c'|q;x_1,x_2).$$

$$(141)\qquad _2\phi_1(a,b;c|q,x_1 \oplus_q x_2) = \nabla_q(b)\Phi_1(a;b,b;c|q;x_1,x_2).$$

$$(142)\qquad _2\phi_1(a,b;c|q,x_1 \oplus_q x_2) = \triangle_q(c)\Phi_4(a,b;c,c|q;x_1,x_2).$$

$$(143)\qquad _2\phi_1(a,b;c|q,x_1 \oplus_q x_2) = \nabla_q(b)\,\triangle_q(c)\Phi_2(a;b,b;c,c|q;x_1,x_2).$$

$$(144)\qquad _2\phi_1(a,b;c|q,[x_1+x_2]_q) = \nabla_q(b)\Phi_{1:0;1}^{1:1;1}\left[\begin{array}{l} a:b;b; \\ c:-;\infty; \end{array} |q;x_1,x_2\right].$$

(145)
$$_2\phi_1(a,b;c|q,[x_1+x_2]_q) = \triangle_q(c)\Phi_{2:1;1}^{2:2;1}\left[\begin{array}{l} a,b:\infty,\infty;\infty; \\ \infty,\infty:c;c; \end{array} |q;x_1,x_2\right].$$

(146)
$$_2\phi_1(a,b;c|q,[x_1+x_2]_q) = \nabla_q(b)\,\triangle_q(c)\Phi_{1:1;1}^{1:2;1}\left[\begin{array}{l} a:b,\infty;b; \\ \infty:c;c; \end{array} |q;x_1,x_2\right].$$

For a simple $q$–hypergeometric series we obtain
$$(147)\qquad \frac{1}{\langle \theta_1+c;q\rangle_r}{}_2\phi_1(a,b;c|q,x_1) = \frac{1}{\langle c;q\rangle_r}{}_2\phi_1(a,b;c+r|q,x_1),$$
and analogous formulae for double series.

The following formulas for $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ obtain.

(148)
$$\frac{1}{\langle -a - \theta_1 - \theta_2 + 1; q\rangle_r} \Phi_1(a; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2)$$
$$= \frac{(-1)^r \langle a; q\rangle_r}{\langle a - r; q\rangle_{2r}} \Phi_1(a - r; b, b'; c|q; x_1 q^r, x_2 q^r) q^{-\binom{r}{2} + r(a-1)}.$$

(149)
$$\langle -\theta_1; q\rangle_r \, {}_2\phi_1(a, b; c|q, x_1)$$
$$= \frac{(-1)^r \langle a, b; q\rangle_r x_1^r}{\langle c; q\rangle_r} \, {}_2\phi_1(a + r, b + r; c + r|q, x_1 q^{-r}) q^{\binom{r}{2} - r^2}.$$

(150)
$$\langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2; q\rangle_r \, \Phi_1(a; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2) = \frac{\langle a; q\rangle_{2r} \langle b, b'; q\rangle_r}{\langle c; q\rangle_{2r}} x_1^r x_2^r$$
$$\times \, \Phi_1(a + 2r; b + r, b' + r; c + 2r|q; x_1 q^{-r}, x_2 q^{-r}) q^{r^2 - r}.$$

Combining (148) and (150) we obtain

(151)
$$\frac{\langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2; q\rangle_r}{\langle -a - \theta_1 - \theta_2 + 1; q\rangle_r} \Phi_1(a; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2)$$
$$= \frac{(-1)^r \langle a, b, b'; q\rangle_r}{\langle c; q\rangle_{2r}} x_1^r x_2^r \Phi_1(a + r; b + r, b' + r; c + 2r|q; x_1, x_2) q^{\binom{r}{2} + r(a-1)}.$$

We obtain the following set of lemmata

(152)
$$\Gamma_q \begin{bmatrix} h, m + n + h \\ m + h, n + h \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -m, -n; q\rangle_r}{\langle 1, h; q\rangle_r} q^{r(m+n+h)}.$$

(153)
$$\Gamma_q \begin{bmatrix} m + k, n + k \\ k, m + n + k \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -m, -n; q\rangle_r}{\langle 1, -k - m - n + 1; q\rangle_r} q^r$$

(154)
$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \frac{\langle -m, -n; q\rangle_r \langle k; q\rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, k + m, k + n, k + r - 1; q\rangle_r} q^{\binom{r}{2} + r(m+n+k)}.$$

(155)
$$\Gamma_q \begin{bmatrix} k + m, k + n, h, m + n + h \\ k, m + n + k, m + h, n + h \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -m, -n, k - h; q\rangle_r \langle k; q\rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, h, k + r - 1, m + k, n + k; q\rangle_r} q^{r(m+n+h)}$$

(156)
$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -m, -n, h - k; q\rangle_r}{\langle 1, h, -k - m - n + 1; q\rangle_r} q^r.$$

Note that (153) follows by letting $h \to \infty$ in (156), (154) follows by letting $h \to \infty$ in (155) and (152) follows by letting $k \to \infty$ in (155).

In (152) replace $m, n$ by $\theta_1, \theta_2$ to obtain

$$(157) \qquad \nabla_q(h) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1, h; q \rangle_r} q^{rh} \epsilon_1^r \epsilon_2^r.$$

Similarly we obtain

$$(158) \qquad \triangle_q(h) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1, 1 - h - \theta_1 - \theta_2; q \rangle_r} q^r,$$

$$(159) \qquad \triangle_q(h) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r \langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2; q \rangle_r \langle h; q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, h + r - 1, h + \theta_1, h + \theta_2; q \rangle_r} q^{\binom{r}{2} + rh} \epsilon_1^r \epsilon_2^r,$$

and

$$(160) \qquad \nabla_q(h) \triangle_q(k) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2, k - h; q \rangle_r \langle k; q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, h, k + r - 1, k + \theta_1, k + \theta_2; q \rangle_r} q^{rh} \epsilon_1^r \epsilon_2^r,$$

$$(161) \qquad \nabla_q(h) \triangle_q(k) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle h - k, -\theta_1, -\theta_2; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1, h, 1 - k - \theta_1 - \theta_2; q \rangle_r} q^r,$$

where the last formula is the $q$–Pfaff-Saalschütz theorem. By adopting these operators, we obtain the following expansions, which are $q$–analogues of [11, (26)-(43)]

**Theorem 5.1.** $q$-analogue of [11, (26)].

$$(162) \qquad \Phi_2(a; b, b'; c, c' | q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a, b, b'; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1, c, c'; q \rangle_r} x_1^r x_2^r q^{r(a+r-1)} \times$$

$$_2\phi_1(a + r, b + r; c + r | q, x_1) \; _2\phi_1(a + r, b' + r; c' + r | q, x_2).$$

This is equivalent to a form of the first $q$–Vandermonde theorem
(163)

$$\frac{\langle a; q \rangle_{m+n}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_m \langle 1; q \rangle_n} = \sum_{r=0}^{min(m,n)} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1; q \rangle_r} \frac{\langle a + r; q \rangle_{m-r}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{m-r}} \frac{\langle a + r; q \rangle_{n-r}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{n-r}} q^{r(a+r-1)}.$$

**Theorem 5.2.** $q$-analogue of [11, (27)].

(164)

$$_2\phi_1(a, b; c | q, x_1) \; _2\phi_1(a, b'; c' | q, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r \langle a, b, b'; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1, c, c'; q \rangle_r} x_1^r x_2^r q^{ra+\binom{r}{2}} \times$$

$$\Phi_2(a + r; b + r, b' + r; c + r, c' + r | q; x_1, x_2).$$

*Proof.*

$$_2\phi_1(a, b; c|q, x_1) \; _2\phi_1(a, b'; c'|q, x_2)$$

(165)
$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2; q\rangle_r}{\langle 1, 1 - a - \theta_1 - \theta_2; q\rangle_r} q^r \Phi_2(a; b, b'; c, c'|q; x_1, x_2) = \dots$$

$\square$

This is equivalent to

(166)   $$\frac{\langle a; q\rangle_m \langle a; q\rangle_n}{\langle 1; q\rangle_m \langle 1; q\rangle_n} = \sum_{r=0}^{min(m,n)} \frac{\langle a; q\rangle_{m+n-r}}{\langle 1; q\rangle_r} \frac{(-1)^r}{\langle 1; q\rangle_{m-r} \langle 1; q\rangle_{n-r}} q^{ra + \binom{r}{2}}.$$

**Theorem 5.3.** *$q$–analogue of* $[11, (28)].$ *Compare* $[2, \text{p. } 194].$

(167)

$$\Phi_3(a, a'; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r \langle a, a', b, b'; q\rangle_r}{\langle 1, c + r - 1; q\rangle_r \langle c; q\rangle_{2r}} x_1^r x_2^r q^{rc + \frac{3}{2} r(r-1)} \times$$

$$_2\phi_1(a + r, b + r; c + 2r|q, x_1) \; _2\phi_1(a' + r, b' + r; c + 2r|q, x_2).$$

*Proof.*

(168)

$$\Phi_3(a, a'; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r \langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2; q\rangle_r \langle c; q\rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, c + r - 1, c + \theta_1, c + \theta_2; q\rangle_r} q^{\binom{r}{2} + rc} \epsilon_1^r \epsilon_2^r$$

$$_2\phi_1(a, b; c|q, x_1) \; _2\phi_1(a', b'; c|q, x_2) = \dots$$

$\square$

This is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{\langle 1; q\rangle_m \langle 1; q\rangle_n \langle a; q\rangle_{m+n}} =$$

(169)
$$\sum_{r=0}^{min(m,n)} \frac{(-1)^r}{\langle 1, a + r - 1; q\rangle_r \langle 1; q\rangle_{m-r}} \frac{q^{ra + \frac{3}{2} r(r-1)}}{\langle a; q\rangle_{m+r} \langle 1, a + 2r; q\rangle_{n-r}}.$$

**Theorem 5.4.** *$q$–analogue of* $[11, (29)].$

(170)

$$_2\phi_1(a, b; c|q, x_1) \; _2\phi_1(a', b'; c|q, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a, a', b, b'; q\rangle_r}{\langle 1, c; q\rangle_r \langle c; q\rangle_{2r}} x_1^r x_2^r q^{rc + r(r-1)} \times$$

$$\Phi_3(a + r, a' + r; b + r, b' + r; c + 2r|q; x_1, x_2)$$

This is equivalent to

$$(171) \qquad \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_{m+n}}{\langle 1, a; q \rangle_m \langle 1, a; q \rangle_n} = \sum_{r=0}^{min(m,n)} \frac{q^{ra+r(r-1)}}{\langle 1, a; q \rangle_r \langle 1; q \rangle_{m-r} \langle 1; q \rangle_{n-r}},$$

which for $m = n$ is a special case of the first $q$–Vandermonde theorem.

**Theorem 5.5.** *$q$–analogue of* [11, (30)]. *The first version of this equation occurred in* [41, (37)p. 75]. *The same corrected version also occurred in* [2, 6.8 p. 193].

$$(172)$$

$$\Phi_1(a; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle c - a, a, b, b'; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1, c + r - 1; q \rangle_r \langle c; q \rangle_{2r}} x_1^r x_2^r q^{ra+r(r-1)} \times$$

$$_2\phi_1(a + r, b + r; c + 2r|q, x_1) \; _2\phi_1(a + r, b' + r; c + 2r|q, x_2).$$

*Proof.*

$$(173)$$

$$\Phi_1(a; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2, c - a; q \rangle_r \langle c; q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, a, c + r - 1, c + \theta_1, c + \theta_2; q \rangle_r} q^{ra} \epsilon_1^r \epsilon_2^r$$

$$_2\phi_1(a, b; c|q, x_1) \; _2\phi_1(a, b'; c|q, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2, c - a; q \rangle_r \langle c; q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, c + r - 1, a, c, c; q \rangle_r} q^{ra} \epsilon_1^r \epsilon_2^r$$

$$_2\phi_1(a, b; c + r|q, x_1) \; _2\phi_1(a, b'; c + r|q, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle b, a, b', c - a; q \rangle_r \langle c; q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, c + r - 1, c, c, c + r, c + r; q \rangle_r}$$

$$q^{ra+r(r-1)} x_1^r x_2^r \; _2\phi_1(a + r, b + r; c + 2r|q, x_1) \; _2\phi_1(a + r, b' + r; c + 2r|q, x_2) = \ldots.$$

$$\square$$

This is equivalent to the $q$–Whipple theorem

$$(174)$$

$$\frac{\langle a; q \rangle_{m+n}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_m \langle 1; q \rangle_n \langle c; q \rangle_{m+n}} =$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{min(m,n)} \frac{\langle c - a, a; q \rangle_r q^{ra+r(r-1)}}{\langle 1, c + r - 1; q \rangle_r \langle 1; q \rangle_{m-r}} \frac{\langle a + r; q \rangle_{m-r}}{\langle c; q \rangle_{m+r}} \frac{\langle a + r; q \rangle_{n-r}}{\langle 1, c + 2r; q \rangle_{n-r}}.$$

**Theorem 5.6.** *$q$–analogue of* [11, (31)].

$$(175)$$

$$_2\phi_1(a, b; c|q, x_1) \; _2\phi_1(a, b'; c|q, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r \langle a, b, b', c - a; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1, c; q \rangle_r \langle c; q \rangle_{2r}} q^{ra+\binom{r}{2}} x_1^r x_2^r \times$$

$$\Phi_1(a + r; b + r, b' + r; c + 2r|q; x_1, x_2).$$

*Proof.*

$$_2\phi_1(a, b; c|q, x_1) \; _2\phi_1(a, b'; c|q, x_2) =$$

(176)
$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle c - a, -\theta_1, -\theta_2; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1, c, 1 - a - \theta_1 - \theta_2; q \rangle_r} q^r \Phi_1(a; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2).$$

□

This is equivalent to a form of the $q$–Pfaff-Saalschütz theorem
(177)
$$\frac{\langle a; q \rangle_m \langle a; q \rangle_n}{\langle 1, c; q \rangle_m \langle 1, c; q \rangle_n} = \sum_{r=0}^{min(m,n)} \frac{(-1)^r \langle c - a; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1, c; q \rangle_r \langle c; q \rangle_{m+n}} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_{m+n-r}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{m-r} \langle 1; q \rangle_{n-r}} q^{ra + \binom{r}{2}}.$$

**Theorem 5.7.** $q$–analogue of $[11, (32)]$.

(178)
$$\Phi_1(a; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a, b, b'; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1; q \rangle_r \langle c; q \rangle_{2r}} q^{ra + r(r-1)} x_1{}^r x_2{}^r \times$$

$$\Phi_3(a + r, a + r; b + r, b' + r; c + 2r|q; x_1, x_2).$$

*Proof.*

(179)
$$\Phi_1(a; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1, a; q \rangle_r} q^{ra} \epsilon_1^r \epsilon_2^r \times$$

$$\Phi_3(a, a; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2) \ldots.$$

□

This is equivalent to (163).

**Theorem 5.8.** $q$–analogue of $[11, (33)]$.

(180)
$$\Phi_3(a, a; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r \langle a, b, b'; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1; q \rangle_r \langle c; q \rangle_{2r}} q^{ra + \binom{r}{2}} x_1{}^r x_2{}^r \times$$

$$\Phi_1(a + r; b + r, b' + r; c + 2r|q; x_1, x_2).$$

*Proof.*

$$\Phi_3(a, a; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2) =$$

(181)
$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1, 1 - a - \theta_1 - \theta_2; q \rangle_r} q^r \Phi_1(a; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2) = \ldots.$$

□

This is equivalent to (166).

**Theorem 5.9.** *q–analogue of* [11, (34)].

(182)
$$\Phi_1(a; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r \langle b, b'; q \rangle_r \langle a; q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, c + r - 1; q \rangle_r \langle c; q \rangle_{2r}} q^{rc + \frac{3}{2} r(r-1)} x_1^{\ r} x_2^{\ r} \times$$

$$\Phi_2(a + 2r; b + r, b' + r; c + 2r, c + 2r|q; x_1, x_2).$$

*Proof.*

(183)
$$\Phi_1(a; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r \langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2; q \rangle_r \langle c; q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, c + r - 1, c + \theta_1, c + \theta_2; q \rangle_r} q^{\binom{r}{2} + rc} \epsilon_1^r \epsilon_2^r$$

$$\Phi_2(a; b, b'; c, c|q; x_1, x_2) = \dots .$$

$\square$

This is equivalent to (169).

**Theorem 5.10.** *q–analogue of* [11, (35)].

(184)
$$\Phi_2(a; b, b'; c, c|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle b, b'; q \rangle_r \langle a; q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, c; q \rangle_r \langle c; q \rangle_{2r}} q^{rc + r(r-1)} x_1^{\ r} x_2^{\ r} \times$$

$$\Phi_1(a + 2r; b + r, b' + r; c + 2r|q; x_1, x_2).$$

*Proof.*

(185)
$$\Phi_2(a; b, b'; c, c|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1, c; q \rangle_r} q^{rc} \epsilon_1^r \epsilon_2^r$$

$$\Phi_1(a; b, b'; c|q; x_1, x_2) = \dots .$$

$\square$

This is equivalent to (171).

**Theorem 5.11.** *q–analogue of* [11, (36)].

(186)
$$\Phi_4(a; b; c, c'|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle b; q \rangle_r \langle a; q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, c, c'; q \rangle_r} q^{rb + r(r-1)} x_1^{\ r} x_2^{\ r} \times$$

$$\Phi_2(a + 2r; b + r, b + r; c + r, c' + r|q; x_1, x_2).$$

*Proof.*

(187)
$$\Phi_4(a; b; c, c'|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1, b; q \rangle_r} q^{rb} \epsilon_1^r \epsilon_2^r \Phi_2(a; b, b; c, c'|q; x_1, x_2) = \dots .$$

$\square$

This is equivalent to (163).

**Theorem 5.12.** *$q$–analogue of* $[11, (37)]$.

(188)
$$\Phi_2(a; b, b; c, c'|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r \langle b; q \rangle_r \langle a; q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, c, c'; q \rangle_r} q^{\binom{r}{2}+rb} x_1{}^r x_2{}^r \times$$

$$\Phi_4(a + 2r; b + r; c + r, c' + r|q; x_1, x_2).$$

*Proof.*

(189)

$$\Phi_2(a; b, b; c, c'|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r \langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2; q \rangle_r \langle c; q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, c + r - 1, c + \theta_1, c + \theta_2; q \rangle_r} q^{\binom{r}{2}+rc} \epsilon_1^r \epsilon_2^r \times$$

$$\Phi_4(a; b; c, c'|q; x_1, x_2) = \ldots.$$

$\square$

This is equivalent to (166).

**Theorem 5.13.** *$q$–analogue of* $[11, (38)]$. *The first version of this equation occurred in* $[41, (45)\text{p. }76]$.

(190)
$$_2\phi_1(a, b; c|q, x_1 \oplus_q x_2). = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle b; q \rangle_r \langle a; q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_r \langle c; q \rangle_{2r}} q^{rb+r(r-1)} x_1{}^r x_2{}^r \times$$

$$\Phi_1(a + 2r; b + r, b + r; c + 2r|q; x_1, x_2).$$

*Proof.*

(191)
$$_2\phi_1(a, b; c|q, x_1 \oplus_q x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1, b; q \rangle_r} q^{rb} \epsilon_1^r \epsilon_2^r$$

$$\Phi_1(a; b, b; c|q; x_1, x_2) = \ldots.$$

$\square$

This is equivalent to (171).

**Theorem 5.14.** *$q$–analogue of* $[11, (39)]$. *The first version of this equation occurred in* $[41, (46)\text{p. }77]$.

(192)
$$\Phi_1(a; b, b; c|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r \langle b; q \rangle_r \langle a; q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_r \langle c; q \rangle_{2r}} q^{\binom{r}{2}+rb} x_1{}^r x_2{}^r \times$$

$$_2\phi_1(a + 2r, b + r; c + 2r|q, x_1 \oplus_q x_2).$$

*Proof.*

$$\Phi_1(a; b, b; c|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1, 1 - b - \theta_1 - \theta_2; q \rangle_r} q^r \times$$

(193)

$$_2\phi_1(a, b; c|q, x_1 \oplus_q x_2) = \ldots.$$

$\square$

This is equivalent to ;(166).

**Theorem 5.15.** *$q$–analogue of ;[11, (40)]. The first version of this equation occurred in [41, (47) p. 77].*

(194)

$$_2\phi_1(a, b; c|q, x_1 \oplus_q x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r \langle a, b; q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, c + r - 1; q \rangle_r \langle c; q \rangle_{2r}} q^{\frac{3}{2}r(r-1)+rc} x_1{}^r x_2{}^r \times$$

$$\Phi_4(a + 2r; b + 2r; c + 2r, c + 2r|q; x_1, x_2).$$

This is equivalent to (169).

**Theorem 5.16.** *$q$–analogue of [11, (41)]. The first version of this equation occurred in [41, (48) p. 77].*

(195)

$$\Phi_4(a; b; c, c|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a, b; q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, c; q \rangle_r \langle c; q \rangle_{2r}} q^{r(r-1)+rc} x_1{}^r x_2{}^r \times$$

$$_2\phi_1(a + 2r, b + 2r; c + 2r|q, x_1 \oplus_q x_2).$$

*Proof.*

$$\Phi_4(a; b; c, c|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1, c; q \rangle_r} q^{rc} \epsilon_1^r \epsilon_2^r \times$$

(196)

$$_2\phi_1(a, b; c|q, x_1 \oplus_q x_2) = \ldots.$$

$\square$

This is equivalent to the limit $a \to \infty$ in (174).

**Theorem 5.17.** *$q$–analogue of [11, (42)].*

(197)

$$_2\phi_1(a, b; c|q, x_1 \oplus_q x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_{2r} \langle b, c - b; q \rangle_r}{\langle c; q \rangle_{2r} \langle 1, c + r - 1; q \rangle_r} q^{r(b+r-1)} x_1{}^r x_2{}^r \times$$

$$\Phi_2(a + 2r; b + r, b + r; c + 2r, c + 2r|q; x_1, x_2).$$

This is equivalent to (171).

**Theorem 5.18.** *$q$–analogue of* $[11, (43)]$.

(198)

$$\Phi_2(a; b, b; c, c|q; x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \frac{\langle a; q \rangle_{2r} \langle b, c - b; q \rangle_r}{\langle c; q \rangle_{2r} \langle 1, c; q \rangle_r} q^{\binom{r}{2}+rb} x_1{}^r x_2{}^r \times$$

$${}_2\phi_1(a + 2r, b + r; c + 2r|q, x_1 \oplus_q x_2).$$

This is equivalent to (174).

By the same method which was used in [41], we obtain the following $q$–analogues of the corrected version of Verma $[75, (10)\text{-}(14)]$. The formulas also closely resemble $q$–analogues of $[64, (11),(12),(8),(13),(7)]$.

When there will be no misunderstanding, we will write $G$ and $H$ for $G_1; G_2$ and $H_1; H_2$.

**Theorem 5.19.**

(199)

$$\Phi_{B:H_1;H_2}^{A:G_1;G_2} \left[ \begin{array}{c} (a) : (g_1); (g_2); \\ (b) : (h_1); (h_2); \end{array} |q; x_1, x_2 \right] = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle (g_1), (g_2), \alpha; q \rangle_r \langle (a); q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, (h_1), (h_2); q \rangle_r \langle (b), \alpha; q \rangle_{2r}} q^{2\binom{r}{2}+r\alpha} \times$$

$$x_1^r x_2^r \Phi_{B+1:H_1+1;H_2+1}^{A+1:G_1+1;G_2+1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} (a + 2r), \infty : (g_1 + r), \alpha + r; (g_2 + r), \alpha + r; \\ (b + 2r), \alpha + 2r : (h_1 + r), \infty; (h_2 + r), \infty; \end{array} |q; x_1, x_2 \right].$$

(200)

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r \langle (g_1), (g_2); q \rangle_r \langle (a); q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, (h_1), (h_2), \alpha; q \rangle_r \langle (b); q \rangle_{2r}} q^{\binom{r}{2}+r\alpha} x_1^r x_2^r \times$$

$$\Phi_{B+1:H+1}^{A+1:G+1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} (a + 2r), \alpha + r : (g_1 + r), \infty; (g_2 + r), \infty; \\ (b + 2r), \infty : (h_1 + r), \alpha + r; (h_2 + r), \alpha + r; \end{array} |q; x_1, x_2 \right].$$

(201)

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle (g_1), (g_2), \gamma - \alpha, \alpha; q \rangle_r \langle (a); q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, (h_1), (h_2), \gamma + r - 1; q \rangle_r \langle (b), \alpha; q \rangle_{2r}} q^{2\binom{r}{2}+r\alpha} x_1^r x_2^r \times$$

$$\Phi_{B+1:H+1}^{A+1:G+1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} (a + 2r), \gamma + 2r : (g_1 + r), \alpha + r; (g_2 + r), \alpha + r; \\ (b + 2r), \alpha + 2r : (h_1 + r), \gamma + 2r; (h_2 + r), \gamma + 2r; \end{array} |q; x_1, x_2 \right].$$

(202)

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r \langle (g_1), (g_2); q \rangle_r \langle (a); q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, (h_1), (h_2), \alpha + r - 1; q \rangle_r \langle (b); q \rangle_{2r}} q^{3\binom{r}{2}+r\alpha} x_1^r x_2^r \times$$

$$\Phi_{B+1:H+1}^{A+1:G+1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} (a + 2r), \alpha + 2r : (g_1 + r), \infty; (g_2 + r), \infty; \\ (b + 2r), \infty : (h_1 + r), \alpha + 2r; (h_2 + r), \alpha + 2r; \end{array} |q; x_1, x_2 \right].$$

(203)

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r \langle (g_1), (g_2), \alpha - \gamma, \alpha; q \rangle_r \langle (a); q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, (h_1), (h_2), \gamma; q \rangle_r \langle (b), \alpha; q \rangle_{2r}} q^{\binom{r}{2} + r\gamma} x_1^r x_2^r \times$$

$$\Phi_{B+1:H+1}^{A+1:G+1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} (a + 2r), \gamma + r : (g_1 + r), \alpha + r; (g_2 + r), \alpha + r; \\ (b + 2r), \alpha + 2r : (h_1 + r), \gamma + r; (h_2 + r), \gamma + r; \end{array} | q; x_1, x_2 \right].$$

*These formulas hold when $A + G_1 \leq B + H_1 + 1$, $A + G_2 \leq B + H_2 + 1$, and $|x_1|$, $|x_2|$ are chosen in such a way that both sides converge* [64, p. 49].

*Proof.* We will use the following abbreviation.

$$\Theta \equiv (-1)^{m(1 + H_1 - G_1 + B - A) + n(1 + H_2 - G_2 + B - A)} \times$$

$$\mathrm{QE}\left( (B - A)\binom{m + n}{2} + (1 + H_1 - G_1)\binom{m}{2} + (1 + H_2 - G_2)\binom{n}{2} \right).$$

First we prove (201).

$$LHS = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2, \gamma - \alpha; q \rangle_r \langle \gamma; q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, \alpha, \gamma + r - 1, \gamma + \theta_1, \gamma + \theta_2; q \rangle_r} q^{r\alpha} \epsilon_1^r \epsilon_2^r$$

$$\Phi_{B+1:H+1}^{A+1:G+1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} (a), \gamma : (g_1), \alpha; (g_2), \alpha; \\ (b), \alpha : (h_1), \gamma; (h_2), \gamma; \end{array} | q; x_1, x_2 \right]$$

(204)

$$= \sum_{r,m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2\binom{r}{2} + r\alpha} \langle \gamma - \alpha; q \rangle_r \langle \gamma; q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle \alpha, \gamma + r - 1, \gamma, \gamma; q \rangle_r}$$

$$\Phi_{B+1:H+1}^{A+1:G+1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} (a), \gamma : (g_1), \alpha; (g_2), \alpha; \\ (b), \alpha : (h_1), \gamma + r; (h_2), \gamma + r; \end{array} | q; x_1, x_2 \right] = RHS.$$

Equations (199) and (202) follow from (201) by letting $\gamma \to +\infty$ and $\alpha \to +\infty$ respectively. Equation (203) is proved in a similar way.

$$LHS = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle -\theta_1, -\theta_2, \alpha - \gamma; q \rangle_r}{\langle 1, \alpha, 1 - \gamma - \theta_1 - \theta_2; q \rangle_r} q^r \Phi_{B+1:H+1}^{A+1:G+1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} (a), \gamma : (g_1), \alpha; (g_2), \alpha; \\ (b), \alpha : (h_1), \gamma; (h_2), \gamma; \end{array} | q; x_1, x_2 \right]$$

$$= \sum_{r,m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r q^{\binom{r}{2} + r\gamma} \langle \alpha - \gamma; q \rangle_r \langle \gamma; q \rangle_{m+n-r}}{\langle 1, \alpha; q \rangle_r} \Theta \times$$

$$\frac{\langle (a); q \rangle_{m+n} \langle (g_1), \alpha; q \rangle_m \langle (g_2), \alpha; q \rangle_n x_1^m x_2^n}{\langle (b), \alpha; q \rangle_{m+n} \langle (h_1), \gamma; q \rangle_m \langle (h_2), \gamma; q \rangle_n \langle 1; q \rangle_{m-r} \langle 1; q \rangle_{n-r}} =$$

$$\sum_{r,m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r q^{\binom{r}{2} + r\gamma} x_1^r x_2^r \langle \alpha - \gamma, (g_1), \alpha, (g_2); q \rangle_r \langle (a); q \rangle_{2r}}{\langle 1, (h_1), \gamma, (h_2); q \rangle_r \langle (b), \alpha; q \rangle_{2r}} \Theta \times$$

$$\frac{x_1^m x_2^n \langle (a+2r), \gamma+r; q \rangle_{m+n} \langle (g_1+r), \alpha+r; q \rangle_m \langle (g_2+r), \alpha+r; q \rangle_n}{\langle (b+2r), \alpha+2r; q \rangle_{m+n} \langle (h_1+r), \gamma+r; q \rangle_m \langle (h_2+r), \gamma+r; q \rangle_n} = RHS.$$

Equation (200) follows from (203) by letting $\alpha \to +\infty$.     $\square$

*Remark* 11. As pointed out in [75], (199) gives on specialization of parameters (162), (170), (178), (184), (186), (190), (195). (200) gives (164),(180),(188),(192);(201) gives (172) and (197) ; (202) gives (167), (182) and (194) ;(203) gives (175) and (198).

## 6. Conclusion

There is a typical trend in some of the new results. In the Watson- and Jackson formulas for ${}_8\phi_7$ series, we append an extra tilde in numer- ator and denominator to get the $q$–analogue. This is also the case in the LHS triple sum of (129). However, in the RHS double sum of (129) we append two extra tildes in numerator and denominator to get the $q$–analogue. In (90) and (99) the hypergeometric function argument $4x$ is replaced by $x$ times two tildes in the numerator.

The following decomposition of the $q$–hypergeometric series into even and odd parts is a $q$–analogue of [68, p. 200-201], and an example of a $q$–analogue of a hypergeometric function with argument $\frac{1}{4}x$. .

**Theorem 6.1.** [22, (366), p. 71]

(205)

$$_r\phi_s((a); (b)|q, z) = {}_{4r}\phi_{4s+3}\left[ \begin{array}{c} \frac{(a)}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{(a)}{2}}, \frac{(a+1)}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{(a+1)}{2}} \\ \frac{(b)}{2}, \frac{(b)}{2}, \frac{(b+1)}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{(b+1)}{2}}, \frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{1}{2}}, \widetilde{1} \end{array} \middle| q, z^2 q^{1+s-r} \right]$$

$$+ (-1)^{1+s-r} \frac{z}{1-q} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^r (1-q^{a_j})}{\prod_{j=1}^s (1-q^{b_j})} \times$$

$$_{4r}\phi_{4s+3}\left[ \begin{array}{c} \frac{(a+1)}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{(a+1)}{2}}, \frac{(a+2)}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{(a+2)}{2}} \\ \frac{(b+1)}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{(b+1)}{2}}, \frac{(b+2)}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{(b+2)}{2}}, \frac{3}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{3}{2}}, \widetilde{1} \end{array} \middle| q, z^2 q^{3(1+s-r)} \right].$$

So we have 2 cases where the tilde operator is connected to $q$– analogues of hypergeometric function arguments expressed as $x \times 2^{\pm n}$. The extended $q$–hypergeometric series (28) follows a similar pattern.

Hopefully the $q$–Kampé de Fériet functions defined here will enhance the understanding of this interdisciplinary subject. Further develop- ments along these lines will be given in future papers.

## References

[1] A.K. Agarwal & E.G. Kalnins & W Jr. Miller, Canonical equations and symmetry techniques for $q$–series. SIAM J.Math.Anal. 18, 6 (1987), 1519-1538.

[2] R.P. Agarwal, Some Basic Hypergeometric Identities, *Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles. Ser. I* **67** (1953) 186–202.

[3] R.P.Agarwal, Some Relations between Basic Hypergeometric Functions of Two Variables, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)* **3** (1954) 76–82.

[4] W.A. Al-Salam, $q$–Bernoulli Numbers and Polynomials, *Math. Nachr.* **17** (1959) 239–260.

[5] W.A. Al-Salam, Saalschützian theorems for basic double series. *J. London Math. Soc.* **40** 1965 455–458.

[6] G.E. Andrews, Summations and transformations for basic Appell series. *J. London Math. Soc.* (2)**4** (1972) 618–622.

[7] P. Appell, Sur des séries hypergéométriques de deux variables ... C.R.Paris 90 (1880) 296-298, 731-734.

[8] P. Appell & J. Kampé de Fériet, *Fonctions hypergéométriques et hypersphériques,* Paris, 1926.

[9] W.N. Bailey, A note on certain $q$–identities. *Quarterly J. Math.* **12** (1941) 173-175.

[10] W.N. Bailey, *Hypergeometric series.* reprinted by Hafner, New York, 1972.

[11] J.L. Burchnall & T.W. Chaundy, Expansions of Appell's double hypergeometric functions. *Quart. J. Math.* **11** (1940) 249–270.

[12] J.L. Burchnall & T.W. Chaundy, Expansions of Appell's double hypergeometric functions. II. *Quart. J. Math.* **12** (1941) 112–128.

[13] L. Carlitz, A Saalschützian theorem for double series. *J. London Math. Soc.* **38** (1963) 415–418.

[14] L. Carlitz, A summation theorem for double hypergeometric series. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **37** (1967) 230–233.

[15] B.C. Carlson, The need for a new classification of double hypergeometric series. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **56** (1976) 221–224.

[16] J. Cigler, Operatormethoden für $q$–Identitäten. *Monatshefte für Mathematik* **88** (1979), 87-105.

[17] J.A. Daum, *Basic hypergeometric series,* Thesis, Lincoln, Nebraska 1941.

[18] J.A. Daum, The basic analog of Kummers theorem. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **48** (*1942*) 711–713.

[19] A.C. Dixon, Summation of a certain series. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **(1) 35** (1903) 285–289.

[20] T. Ernst, *The history of q–calculus and a new method,* Uppsala , 2000.

[21] T. Ernst, *q–Generating functions for one and two variables,* Uppsala , 2002.

[22] T. Ernst, *A new method for q–calculus,* Uppsala dissertations 2002.

[23] T. Ernst, A method for $q$–calculus. *J. nonlinear Math. Physics* **10** Nr.4 (2003).

[24] Ernst, Ruffing, Simon, Proceedings from the conference in Roras 2003, in preparation.

[25] H. Exton, *Multiple hypergeometric functions and applications,* Ellis Horwood Ltd. New York-London-Sydney, 1976.

[26] G. Gasper & M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series,* Cambridge, 1990.

[27] C.F. Gauss, *Werke 2,* (1876),pp. 9-45.

[28] I.M. Gelfand & M.I. Graev & V.S. Retakh, General hypergeometric systems of equations and series of hypergeometric type. (Russian)*Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **47** (*1992*), no. 4, (286),3–82, 235 translation in *Russian Math. Surveys* **47** (1992), no. 4 1–88.

[29] J. Goldman & G.C. Rota, *The number of subspaces of a vector space, Recent progress in combinatorics*, (1969),pp. 75-83.

[30] C.C. Grosjean & R.K. Sharma, Transformation formulae for hypergeometric series in two variables. II. *Simon Stevin* **62** (1988), no. 2 97–125.

[31] W. Hahn, Beiträge zur Theorie der Heineschen Reihen. *Mathematische Nachrichten* **2** (*1949*) 340–379.

[32] E. Heine, Über die Reihe... J. reine angew. Math. **32**, (1846) 210-212.

[33] E. Heine, Untersuchungen über die Reihe... J. reine angew. Math. **34**, (1847) 285-328.

[34] E. Heine, *Handbuch der Theorie der Kugelfunktionen. Bd.1.* Berlin 1878.

[35] J. Horn, Über die Convergenz der hypergeometrischen Reihen zweier und dreier Veränderlichen. *Mathematische Annalen* **34** (1889) 544-600.

[36] F.H. Jackson, A generalization of the functions $\Gamma(n)$ and $x^n$. *Proc. Roy Soc. London.* **74** (*1904*) 64–72.

[37] F.H. Jackson, On generalized functions of Legendre and Bessel. *Trans. Roy Soc.Edin.* **41** (1904), 1-28..

[38] F.H. Jackson, Theorems relating to a generalisation of the Bessel-function. *Trans. Roy Soc.Edin.* **41** (1904), 105-118.

[39] F.H. Jackson, On $q$–functions and a certain difference operator. *Trans. Roy Soc.Edin.* **46**, (1908), 253-281.

[40] F.H. Jackson, Transformations of $q$–series. *Mess. Math.* **39** (1910) 145-153.

[41] F.H. Jackson, On basic double hypergeometric functions. *Quart. J. Math.* **13** (1942) 69–82.

[42] F.H. Jackson, Basic double hypergeometric functions. *Quart. J. Math.*, **15** (1944) 49–61.

[43] V.K. Jain & H.M. Srivastava, Some general $q$–polynomial expansions for functions of several variables and their applications to certain $q$–orthogonal polynomials and $q$–Lauricella functions. *Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liege* **58** (1989), no. 1 13–24.

[44] V.K. Jain & H.M. Srivastava, New results involving a certain class of $q$–orthogonal polynomials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **166** (1992), no. 2 331–344.

[45] E.G. Kalnins & H.L. Manocha & W Jr. Miller, The Lie theory of two-variable hypergeometric functions. Studies in applied mathematics 62:143-173 (1980).

[46] P. Karlsson, Reduction of certain hypergeometric functions of three variables. *Glasnik Mat.* Ser. III **8**(28) (1973) 199–204.

[47] C. Krattenthaler & H.M. Srivastava, Summations for basic hypergeometric series involving a $q$–analogue of the digamma function. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **32** (*1996*), no. 3 73–91.

[48] E.E. Kummer, Über die hypergeometrische Reihe . . . *J. für Math.* **15** (1836) 39–83 and 127–172.

[49] V.B. Kuznetsov & E.K. Sklyanin, Factorisation of Macdonald polynomials. *Symmetries and integrability of difference equations* (Canterbury, 1996),pp. 370–384, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 255, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[50] G. Lauricella, Sulle Funzioni Ipergeometriche a piu Variabili. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **7** (1893) 111-158.

[51] S. Lievens S. & J. Van der Jeugt, Transformation formulas for double hypergeometric series related to 9-j coefficients and their basic analogues. *J. Math. Phys.*, **42** (2001), 5417–5430.

[52] W.Jr. Miller, Lie theory and Lauricella functions $F_D$. *J. Mathematical Phys.* **13** (1972), 1393–1399.

[53] W.Jr Miller, Lie theory and the Appell functions $F_1$. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **4** (1973), 638–655.

[54] R. Panda, Some multiple series transformations. *J nānabha Sect.* **A 4** (1974) 165–168.

[55] M. Petkovsek & H. Wilf & D. Zeilberger, *A=B,* A.K. Peters 1996.

[56] E. D. Rainville, *Special functions.* Reprint of 1960 first edition. Chelsea Publishing Co., Bronx, N.Y., 1971.

[57] L J Rogers, On a three-fold symmetry in the elements of Heine's series. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **24** (1893), 171–179.

[58] L J Rogers, On the expansion of some infinite products. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **24** (1893), 337-352.

[59] P. Schafheitlin, Bemerkungen über die allgemeine hypergeometrische Reihe . . . . *Arch. Math. Physik.* (3) **19** (1912), Anhang 20–25.

[60] B.M. Singhal, On the reducibility of Lauricella's function $F_D$. *J nānabha* **A 4** (1974) 163–164.

[61] L.J. Slater, *Generalized hypergeometric functions* Cambridge 1966.

[62] E.R. Smith, *Zur Theorie der Heineschen Reihe und ihrer Verallgemeinerung,* Diss. Univ. München 1911.

[63] H.M. Srivastava, Hypergeometric functions of three variables. *Ganita* **15** (1964) 97–108.

[64] H.M. Srivastava, Certain pairs of inverse series relations. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **245** 1970 47–54.

[65] H.M. Srivastava, On the reducibility of Appell's function $F_4$. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **16** (1973) 295–298.

[66] H.M. Srivastava, A note on certain summation theorems for multiple hypergeometric series. *Simon Stevin* **52** (1978), no. 3 97–109.

[67] H.M. Srivastava, Some generalizations of Carlson's identity. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A* **(5) 18** (1981), no. 1, 138–143.

[68] H.M. Srivastava & H.L. Manocha, *A treatise on generating functions.* Ellis Horwood , New York , 1984.

[69] H.M. Srivastava & P.W. Karlsson, *Multiple Gaussian hypergeometric series.* Ellis Horwood, New York, 1985.

[70] H. M. Srivastava, Some transformations and reduction formulas for multiple $q$–hypergeometric series. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **(4) 144** (1986) 49–56.

[71] J. Thomae, Beiträge zur Theorie der durch die Heinische Reihe . . . . *J. reine angew. Math.* **70** (1869), 258–281.

[72] J. Thomae, Les séries Heinéennes supérieures. *Ann. Mat. pura appl. Bologna,* II **4** (1871) 105–139.

[73] J. Van der Jeugt, Transformation formula for a double Clausenian hypergeometric series, its q-analogue, and its invariance group. *J. Comp. Appl. Math.,* **139** (2002), 65–73.

[74] A. Verma, Certain expansions of generalised basic hypergeometric functions. *Duke Math. J.* **31** (1964) 79–90.

[75] A. Verma, Expansions involving hypergeometric functions of two variables. *Math. Comp.* **20** (1966) 590–596.

[76] M. Ward, A calculus of sequences. *Amer. J. Math.* **58** (*1936*) 255–266.

[77] F. J. W. Whipple, A group of generalized hypergeometric series: relations between 120 allied series of the type $_3F_2(a, b, c; d, e)$. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) **23** (1925), 104–114.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UPPSALA UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 480, SE-751 06 UPPSALA, SWEDEN

*E-mail address*: thomas@math.uu.se